arXiv:1301.0350v2 [math.NT] 29 Jul 2013

On the local Bump-Friedberg L-function

Nadir MATRINGE*

December 12, 2013

Abstract

Let F be a p-adic field. If π be an irreducible representation of $GL(n,F)$, Bump and Friedberg associated to π an Euler fator $L(\pi, BF, s_1, s_2)$ in [7], that should be equal to $L(\phi(\pi), s_1)L(\phi(\pi), \Lambda^2, s_2)$, where $\phi(\pi)$ is the Langlands' parameter of π. The main result of this paper is to show that this equality is true when $(s_1, s_2) = (s + 1/2, 2s)$, for s in $\mathbb{C}$. To prove this, we classify in terms of distinguished discrete series, generic representations of $GL(n,F)$ which are χ_α-distinguished by the Levi subgroup $GL([(n+1)/2],F) \times GL([n/2],F)$, for $\chi_\alpha(g_1, g_2) = \alpha(det(g_1)/det(g_2))$, where α is a character of F^* of real part between $-1/2$ and $1/2$. We then adapt the technique of [10] to reduce the proof of the equality to the case of discrete series. The equality for discrete series is a consequence of the relation between linear periods and Shalika periods for discrete series, and the main result of [20].

MSC2010: 11S40, 22E50.

1 Introduction

This paper owes much to [10], and follows the path of the adaptation of [10] used in [22] and [24] to study the local Asai L-factor. We sometimes clarify some arguments of [loc. cit.]. In our case, we have to deal with several technical complications, especially in the study of distinguished generic representations.

Section 2 is devoted to the introduction of the basic objects. We recall a few facts about representations of Whittaker type and Langlands' type. We continue with a reminder about Bernstein-Zelevinsky derivatives, and the classification of generic representations of $GL(n,F)$ in terms of discrete series. We then recall results of [10] concerning the relation between derivatives and restriction of Whittaker functions to smaller linear groups. We end the section with a result of Bernstein, which is used to extend meromorphically invariant linear forms on the space of induced representations of $GL(n,F)$.

Let M be the maximal Levi subgroup $GL([(n+1)/2],F) \times GL([n/2],F)$ of $GL(n,F)$. We recall that if χ is a character of $GL([(n+1)/2],F) \times GL([n/2],F)$, a representation π of $GL(n,F)$ is said to be χ-distinguished if $Hom_M(\pi, \chi)$ is nonzero. In Section 3, we classify χ-distinguished generic representations of $GL(n,F)$ in terms of χ-distinguished discrete series (Theorem 3.1), for χ a character of the form $\chi_\alpha(g_1, g_2) = \alpha(det(g_1)/det(g_2))$, where α is a character of F^* of real part in $[-1/2, 1/2]$. Results of [27] are used. When n is even, and χ is trivial, our classification implies that π is distinguished if and only if its Langlands' parameter fixes a non degenerate symplectic form (i.e. factors through the L-group of $SO(2n+1,F)$).

In section 4, we recall some classical facts from [15] about L-functions of pairs of irreducible representations of $GL(n,F)$, and some other facts from [10] about their exceptional poles. We

---

[*] Nadir Matringe, Université de Poitiers, Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications, Téléport 2 - BP 30179, Boulevard Marie et Pierre Curie, 86962, Futuroscope Chasseneuil Cedex. Email: Nadir.Matringe@math.univ-poitiers.fr

then introduce the Rankin-Selberg integrals which will be of interest to us, and which define a local factor $L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ for any representation π of Whittaker type of $GL(n, F)$. This factor satisfies a functional equation, and in general, it has an exceptional pole at zero if and only if π is χ_α^{-1}-distinguished. We then prove rationality of the Rankin-Selberg integrals associated to a representation π of Whittaker type, when π is twisted by an unramified character of the Levi subgroup from which it is induced (Theorem 4.1).

In Section 5, using our classification of χ_α-distinguished generic representations of the group $GL(n, F)$, we prove the inductivity relation of the factor $L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ for representations of Langlands' type of $GL(n, F)$, when α is of real part between $-1/2$ and 0 (Theorem 5.2). Then, using the relation between Shalika periods and linear periods for unitary discrete series Δ of $GL(n, F)$, we prove the equality of $L^{lin}(\Delta, s)$ (α is now trivial) and $L(\phi(\Delta), s+1/2)L(\Lambda^2(\phi(\Delta)), 2s)$ for $\phi(\Delta)$ the Langlands' parameter of Δ. The equality for irreducible representations follows (Corollary 5.3).

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notations and basic facts

We fix until the end of this paper a nonarchimedean local field F of characteristic zero. We denote by $\mathfrak{O}$ the ring of integers of F, by ϖ a uniformiser of F, and by q the cardinality of $\mathfrak{O}/\varpi\mathfrak{O}$. We denote by $|.|$ the absolute value on F normalised by the condition $|\varpi| = q^{-1}$, and by v the valuation of F such that $v(\varpi) = 1$. If α is a character of F, and $|.|_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the positive character $z \mapsto (z\bar{z})^{1/2}$ of $\mathbb{C}^*$, the character $|\alpha|_{\mathbb{C}}$ is of the from $|.|^r$ for a real number r that we call the real part of α, with notation $r = Re(\alpha)$.
Let n be a positive integer, we will denote by G_n the group $GL(n, F)$ of units of the algebra $\mathcal{M}(n, F)$, and by Z_n its center. We denote by η_n the row vector $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$ of F^n, so if g belongs to G_n, $\eta_n g$ is the bottom row of g. We denote by K_n maximal compact subgroup $GL(n, \mathfrak{O})$ of G_n, it admits a filtration by the subgroups $K_{n,r} = I_n + \varpi^r \mathcal{M}(n, \mathfrak{O})$ for $r \geq 1$. For $g \in G_n$, we denote by $det(g)$ the determinant of g, and sometimes, we denote by abuse of notation $|g|$ for $|det(g)|$. If g_1 and g_2 are two elements of G_n, we denote by $g_1(g_2)$ the matrix $g_1^{-1} g_2 g_1$.
We call partition of a positive integer n, a family $\bar{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_t)$ of positive integers (for a certain t in $\mathbb{N} - \{0\}$), such that the sum $n_1 + \dots + n_t$ is equal to n. To such a partition, we associate a subgroup of G_n denoted by $P_{\bar{n}}$, given by matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_1 & \star & \star & \star & \star \\ & g_2 & \star & \star & \star \\ & & \ddots & \star & \star \\ & & & g_{t-1} & \star \\ & & & & g_t \end{pmatrix},$$

with g_i in G_{n_i} for i between 1 and t. We call it the standard parabolic subgroup associated with the partition $\bar{n}$. We denote by $N_{\bar{n}}$ its unipotent radical subgroup, given by the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{n_1} & \star & \star \\ & \ddots & \star \\ & & I_{n_t} \end{pmatrix}$$

and by $M_{\bar{n}}$ its standard Levi subgroup given by the matrices $diag(g_1, \dots, g_t)$ with the g_i's in G_{n_i}. The group $P_{\bar{n}}$ identifies with the semidirect product $N_{\bar{n}} \rtimes M_{\bar{n}}$, we will denote $N_{(n-1,1)}$ by U_n. We will denote by $P_{\bar{n}}^-$ the opposite group of $P_{\bar{n}}$, which is its image under the transpose. If we consider G_{n-1} as a subgroup of G_n via the embedding $g \mapsto diag(g, 1)$ we denote by P_n the mirabolic subgroup $G_{n-1}U_n$ of G_n. We denote by A_n the diagonal torus $M_{(1,\dots,1)}$ of G_n, by

N_n the unipotent radical $N_{(1,\dots,1)}$, and by B_n the standard Borel A_nN_n. It will sometimes be useful to paremetrise A_n with simple roots, i.e. to write an element $t = diag(t_1,\dots,t_n)$ of A_n, as $t = z_1 \dots z_n$, where $z_n = t_nI_n$, and $z_i = diag((t_i/t_{i+1})I_i, I_{n-i})$ belongs to the center of G_i embedded in G_n.
We denote by w_n the element of the symetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ naturally embedded in G_n, defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & m-1 & m & m+1 & m+2 & \dots & 2m-1 & 2m \\ 1 & 3 & \dots & 2m-3 & 2m-1 & 2 & 4 & \dots & 2m-2 & 2m \end{pmatrix}$$

when $n = 2m$ is even, and by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & m-1 & m & m+1 & m+2 & \dots & 2m & 2m+1 \\ 1 & 3 & \dots & 2m-3 & 2m-1 & 2m+1 & 2 & \dots & 2m-2 & 2m \end{pmatrix}$$

when $n = 2m+1$ is odd. We denote by W'_n the semi-direct product $A_n\mathfrak{S}_n$, which is the normaliser of A_n in G_n. When $n = 2m+1$, we denote by H_n the group $w_n(M_{(m+1,m)})$, by $h(g_1, g_2)$ the matrix $w_n(diag(g_1, g_2))$ of H_n (with g_1 in G_{m+1} and g_2 in G_m). When $n = 2m$, we denote by H_n the group $w_n(M_{(m,m)})$, by $h(g_1, g_2)$ the matrix $w_n(diag(g_1, g_2))$ of H_n (with g_1 and g_2 in G_m). In both cases, if we denote by ϵ_n the matrix $diag(1,-1,1,-1,\dots)$ of G_n, the group H_n is the subgroup of G_n fixed by the involution $g \mapsto \epsilon_n g \epsilon_n$. If α is a character of F^*, we denote by χ_α the character $\chi_\alpha : h(g_1, g_2) \mapsto \alpha(det(g_1)/det(g_2))$ of H_n, we denote by δ_n the character $\delta_n = \chi_{|.|} : h(g_1, g_2) \mapsto |g_1|/|g_2|$ of H_n, and we denote by χ_n (resp. μ_n) the character of H_n equal to δ_n when n is odd (resp. even), and trivial when n is even (resp. odd). Hence $\chi_{n|H_{n-1}} = \mu_{n-1}$, and $\mu_{n|H_{n-1}} = \chi_{n-1}$. If C is a subset of G_n, we sometimes denote by C^σ the set $C \cap H_n$.

Let G be an l-group (locally compact totally disconnected), we denote by d_Gg or simply dg if the context is clear, a right Haar measure on G. For x in G, we denote by $\delta_G(x)$ the positive number defined by the relation $d_g(xg) = \delta_G^{-1}(x)d_g(g)$. The modulus character δ_G defines a morphism from G into $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. We denote by Δ_G (which we also call modulus character) the morphism from G into $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ defined by $x \mapsto \delta_G(x^{-1})$. If G is an l-group, and H a subgroup of G, a representation (π, V) of G is said to be smooth if for any vector v of the complex vector space V, there is an open subgroup U_v of G stabilizing v through π. We say that a smooth representation (π, V) is admissible if, moreover, for each open subgroup U of G, the space V^U of U-fixed vectors in V is of finite dimension. In this case, V is of countable dimension. It is known that smooth irreducible representations of G_n are always admissible. The category of smooth representations of G is denoted by $Alg(G)$, we will often identify two ismorphic objects of this category. If (π, V) is a smooth representation of G, we denote by $\pi^\vee$ its dual representation in the smooth dual space $V^\vee$ of V. We will only consider smooth complex representations of l-groups. If π has a central character, we denote it by c_π. If $G = G_n$, we write by abuse of notation c_π for the character of F^* defined by $c_\pi(t) = c_\pi(tI_n)$.

Definition 2.1. *Let G be an l-group, H a closed subgroup of G, and (π, V) a representation of G. If χ is a character of H, we say that the representation π is (H,χ)-distinguished, if it admits on its space a nonzero linear form L, verifying $L(\pi(h)v) = \chi(h)L(v)$ for all v in V and h in H. If $\chi = 1$, we say H-distinguished. We omit "H-" if the context is clear.*

We will be interested in the case $G = G_n$, and $H = H_n$. In this case, it is shown in [16] that if an irreducible representation π of G_n is H_n-distinguished, the dimension of $Hom_{H_n}(\pi, \mathbf{1}_H)$ is one, and π is self-dual, i.e. isomorphic to $\pi^\vee$.

If ρ is a complex representation of H in V_ρ, we denote by $\mathcal{C}^\infty(H\backslash G, \rho, V_\rho)$ the space of smooth functions f from G to V_ρ, fixed under the action by right translation of some compact open subgroup U_f of G, and which verify $f(hg) = \rho(h)f(g)$ for $h \in H$, and $g \in G$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H\backslash G, \rho, V_\rho)$ the subspace of functions with support compact modulo H of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(H\backslash G, \rho, V_\rho)$. We denote by $Ind_H^G(\rho)$ the representation by right translation of G in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(H\backslash G, \rho, V_\rho)$ and by $ind_H^G(\rho)$ the representation by right translation of G in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H\backslash G, \rho, V_\rho)$. If $H = \{1_G\}$, and ρ is

the trivial representation acting on $\mathbb{C}$, we write $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H\backslash G,\rho,V_\rho)=\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$. We write $\mathcal{C}_{c,0}^\infty(F^n)$ for the subspace of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^n)$ consisting of functions vanishing at zero. We denote by $Ind'^G_H(\rho)$ the normalized induced representation $Ind_H^G((\Delta_G/\Delta_H)^{1/2}\rho)$ and by $ind'^G_H(\rho)$ the normalized induced representation $ind_H^G((\Delta_G/\Delta_H)^{1/2}\rho)$.
Let n be a positive integer, and $\bar{n}=(n_1,\dots,n_t)$ be a partition of n, and suppose that we have a representation (ρ_i,V_i) of G_{n_i} for each i between 1 and t. Let ρ be the extension to $P_{\bar{n}}$ of the natural representation $\rho_1\otimes\cdots\otimes\rho_t$ of $M_{\bar{n}}\simeq G_{n_1}\times\cdots\times G_{n_t}$, trivial on $N_{\bar{n}}$. We denote by $\rho_1\times\cdots\times\rho_t$ the representation $ind'^{G_n}_{P_{\bar{n}}}(\rho)=Ind'^{G_n}_{P_{\bar{n}}}(\rho)$. Parabolic induction preserves admissibility.
According to Theorem 9.3 of [32], if ρ is a cuspidal representation of G_r, and $k\geq 1$, the representation $\rho\times\cdots\times\rho|.|^{k-1}$ of G_n with $n=kr$, has a unique irreducible quotient, that we denote by $\Delta=[\rho,\dots,|.|^{k-1}\rho]$. It is a discrete series, i.e. some twist of Δ by a character embeds in $L^2(G_n/Z_n)$. Every discrete series representation of G_n arises this way, for a unique triple (k,r,ρ), with $n=kr$. We can also call such a representation of G_n a segment.

We recall that the map $s\mapsto q^{-s}$ induces a group isomorphism between $\mathbb{C}/\frac{2i\pi}{Ln(q)}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{C}^*$, we denote $\mathbb{C}/\frac{2i\pi}{Ln(q)}\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathcal{D}$. Thus $\mathcal{D}$ is a regular algebraic variety whose ring of regular functions identifies with $\mathbb{C}[q^s,q^{-s}]$. More generally, for $t\in\mathbb{N}^*$, we denote by u the variable in $\mathcal{D}^t$, we write $q^u=(q^{u_1},\dots,q^{u_t})$ and $q^{-u}=(q^{-u_1},\dots,q^{-u_t})$, hence the ring of regular functions on $\mathcal{D}^t$ identifies with $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm u}]=\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm u_1},\dots,q^{\pm u_t}]$.

Finally, we denote by W_F the Weil group of F, by I_F its inertia subgroup, and by Fr the Frobenius element of W_F (see [8], Chapter 29). We set $W'_F=W_F\times SL(2,\mathbb{C})$, the Weil-Deligne group of F. We recall that according to a theorem by Harris-Taylor and Henniart, there is a natural bijection from the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of G_n to the the set of isomorphism classes of n-dimansional semi-simple representations of W'_F, called the Langlands' correspondance, which we denote by ϕ. We denote by $Sp(k)$ the (up to isomorphism) unique algebraic k-dimensional irreducible representation of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$. By a semi-simple representations of W'_F, we mean a direct sum of representations of the form $\tau\times Sp(k)$, where (τ,V) is a smooth irreducible representation of W_F. We define the Artin L-factor of τ to be

$$L(\tau,s)=1/det[(I_d-q^{-s}\tau(Fr))_{|V^{I_F}}],$$

where V^{I_F} is the subspace of V fixed by I_F. We the define $L(\tau\times Sp(k),s)$ to be $L(\tau,s+k/2)$. We extend the definition to all semi-simple representations of W'_F by setting

$$L(\tau\oplus\tau',s)=L(\tau,s)L(\tau',s).$$

Then, by definition, the exterior-square L-function $L(\tau,\wedge^2,s)$ of a finite dimensional semi-simple representation τ of W'_F is $L(\wedge^2(\tau),s)$, where $\wedge^2(\tau)$ is the exterior-square of τ. Similarly, the factor $L(\tau,Sym^2,s)$ is by definition $L(Sym^2(\tau),s)$, where $Sym^2(\tau)$ is the symmetric-square of τ.

In this paper, we will often say "representation" instead of "smooth admissible representation" and we will often say that two representations are equal when they are isomorphic.

2.2 Representations of Whittaker type and their derivatives

We recall properties of representations of Whittaker type, for which we can define Rankin-Selberg integrals.

Definition 2.2. *Let π be a representation of G_n, such that π is a product of discrete series $\Delta_1\times\cdots\times\Delta_t$ of smaller linear groups, we say that π is of Whittaker type.*
If the discrete series Δ_i are ordered such that $Re(c_{\Delta_i})\geq Re(c_{\Delta_{i+1}})$, we say that π is (induced) of Langlands' type. If π is moreover irreducible, we say that π is generic.

Let $\Delta = [\rho, \dots, \rho|.|^k]$ and $\Delta' = [\rho', \dots, \rho'|.|^{k'}]$ be two segments of G_n and $G_{n'}$ respectively, we say that Δ and Δ' are linked if either $\rho' = \rho|.|^i$, for $i \in \{1, \dots, k+1\}$, and $i + k' \geq k+1$, or $\rho = \rho'|.|^{i'}$, for $i' \in \{1, \dots, k'+1\}$, and $i' + k \geq k'+1$. We now fix untill the end of this work, a nontrivial character θ of $(F, +)$, which defines by the formula $\theta(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} n_{i,i+1}$ a character still denoted θ of N_n. We have the following proposition, which is a consequence of Theorem 7 of [29], and Theorem 9.7 of [32].

Proposition 2.1. *Let π be a representation of Whittaker type, then $Hom_{N_n}(\pi, \theta)$ is of dimension 1. In particular, according to Frobenius reciprocity law, the space of intertwining operators $Hom_{G_n}(\pi, Ind_{N_n}^{G_n}(\theta))$ is of dimension 1, and the image of the (unique up to scaling) intertwining operator from π to $Ind_{N_n}^{G_n}(\theta))$ is called the Whittaker model of π. We denote it by $W(\pi, \theta)$. The representation π is generic if and only if the discrete series Δ_i commute, or equivalently if the corresponding segments are unlinked.*

If $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_t$ is a representation of Whittaker type of G_n, and w is the antidiagonal matrix of G_n with only ones an the second diagonal, then $\tilde{\pi} : g \mapsto \pi({}^t g^{-1})$ is isomorphic to $\pi^\vee$ when π is generic, and of Whittaker type in general, isomorphic to $\Delta_t^\vee \times \dots \times \Delta_1^\vee$. Moreover for W in $W(\pi, \theta)$, then $\tilde{W} : g \mapsto W(w {}^t g^{-1})$ belongs to $W(\tilde{\pi}, \theta^{-1})$.
We recall the following definition.

Definition 2.3. *We denote by $\Phi^+ : Alg(P_n) \to Alg(P_{n+1})$, $\Phi^- : Alg(P_n) \to Alg(P_{n-1})$, $\Psi^+ : Alg(G_n) \to Alg(P_{n+1})$, $\Psi^- : Alg(P_n) \to Alg(G_{n-1})$ the functors defined in 3.2. of [4]. If π is a smooth P_n-module, we denote by $\pi^{(k)}$ the G_{n-k}-module $(\Phi^-)^{k-1}\Psi^-(\pi)$.*

If π is a representation of G_n, we will sometimes consider $\pi_{|P_n}$ without refering to P_n, for example we will write $\pi^{(k)}$ for $(\pi_{|P_n})^{(k)}$. It is a consequence of b) and c) of Proposition 3.2. of [4], that when τ is a P_n-module, there is an vecotr space isomorphism $Hom_{N_n}(\tau, \theta) \simeq \tau^{(n)}$. From 3.2. and 3.5. of [4] we have:

Proposition 2.2. *The functors $\Psi^-\Psi^+$ and $\Phi^-\Phi^+$ are the identity of $Alg(G_n)$ and $Alg(P_n)$ respectively. For any P_n-module τ, and k in $\{1, \dots, n\}$, the P_n-module*

$$\tau_k = (\Phi^+)^{k-1}(\Phi^-)^{k-1}(\tau)$$

is submodule of τ, and one has $\tau_{k+1} \subset \tau_k$, with $\tau_k/\tau_{k+1} \simeq (\Phi^+)^{k-1}\Psi^+(\tau^{(k)})$.

For representations of Whittaker type, the previous filtration is determined by Lemma 4.5. of [4], and Proposition 9.6. of [32].

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\Delta = [\rho, \dots, |.|^{k-1}\rho]$ be a discrete series of G_n, with ρ a cuspidal representation of G_r, and $n = kr$. Then for $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the G_{k-l}-module $\Delta^{(l)}$ is null, unless $l = ir$ a multiple of r, in which case $\Delta^{(l)} = [|.|^i\rho, \dots, |.|^{k-1}\rho]$ when $i \leq k-1$, and $\Delta^{(n)} = \mathbf{1}$. If $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_t$ is a representation of G_n of Whittaker type, and $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $\pi^{(k)}$ has a filtration with factors the modules $\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \dots \times \Delta_t^{(a_t)}$, for non negative integers a_i such that $\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i = k$.*

Remark 2.1. Let π be a representation of Whittaker type of G_n, and $W(\pi, \theta)$ its Whittaker model. Of course $Hom_{N_n}(W(\pi, \theta), \theta)$ is of dimension 1, and spanned by $W \mapsto W(I_n)$. But considering the retriction to P_n of the representation of G_n obtained on the Whittaker model, this means that its n-th derivative is a vector space of dimension 1. Finally, the filtration of Proposition 2.2 implies that $W(\pi, \theta)_{|P_n}$ contains $ind_{N_n}^{P_n}(\theta)$ (which appears with multiplicity one, as the bottom piece of the filtration). It is shown in [17] that $W(\pi, \theta)$ is isomorphic to π as a G_n-module when π is of Langlands' type.

The asymptotics of Whittaker functions are controlled by the exponents of the corresponding representation.

Definition 2.4. *Let* $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_t$ *be a representation of* G_n *of Whittaker type. Let* k *be an element of* $\{0, \ldots, n\}$*, such that* $\pi^{(k)}$ *is not zero, and let* $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ *be a sequence of nonnegative integers, such that* $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i = k$*, and* $\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \cdots \times \Delta_t^{(a_t)}$ *is nonzero. We will say that the central character of the* G_{n-k}*-module* $\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \cdots \times \Delta_t^{(a_t)}$ *is a* k*-exponent of* π*. If* $\pi^{(k)} = 0$*, the family of* k*-exponents of* π *is empty.*

For convenience, we recall the asymptotic expansion of Whittaker functions given in the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [25] (see the "stronger statement" in [loc. cit.]).

Proposition 2.4. *Let* π *be a representation of* G_n *of Whittaker type. For* $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$*, let* $(c_{k,i_k})_{i_k=1,\ldots,r_k}$ *be the family of* $(n-k)$*-exponents of* π*, then for every* W *in* $W(\pi, \theta)$*, the map* $W(z_1 \ldots z_n)$ *is a linear combination of functions of the form*

$$c_\pi(t(z_n)) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} c_{k,i_k}(t(z_k))|z_k|^{(n-k)/2} v(t(z_k))^{m_k} \phi_k(t(z_k)),$$

where $z_k = diag(t(z_k)I_k, I_{n-k})$*, for* i_k *between* 1 *and* r_k*, non negative integers* m_k*, and functions* ϕ_k *in* $C_c^\infty(F)$*.*

We end this section with results of Section 1 of [10], about the link between restriction of Whittaker functions and derivatives, which will be fundamental to obtain a factorisation of the L factor introduced in section 4.2. We collect them in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *Let* π *be a representation of* G_n *of Whittaker type,* k *be an element of* $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ *and denote by* ρ *an irreducible submodule of the* G_k*-module* $\pi^{(n-k)}$*. Then* ρ *is generic, and we have the following properties.*
If $W \in W(\pi, \theta)$ *actually belongs to the* P_n*-submodule* $W(\pi, \theta)_k$ *(see Proposition 2.2) of* $W(\pi, \theta)$*, then the map* $W(diag(g, a, 1))$ *for* g *in* G_k *and* a *in* A_{n-k-1} *has compact support with respect to* a*. Moreover if* W *projects on* W' *in* $W(\rho, \theta)$ *via the surjection* $\pi \to \pi^{(k)}$*, then for any function* ϕ' *in* $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^k)$*, which is the characteristic function of a sufficiently small neighbourhood of* 0*, we have* $W(diag(g, I_{n-k}))\phi'(\eta_k g) = W'(g)\phi'(\eta_k g)|g|^{(n-k)/2}$*.*
On the other hand, any W' *in* $W(\rho, \theta)$ *is the image of some* W *in* $W(\pi, \theta)_k$*, and there exists* ϕ' *in* $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^k)$ *with* $\phi'(0) \neq 0$*, such that* $W(diag(g, I_{n-k}))\phi'(\eta_k g) = W'(g)\phi'(\eta_k g)|g|^{(n-k)/2}$*.*

2.3 Meromorphic continuation of invariant linear forms

We will use twice a result of Bernstein insuring rationality of the solutions of linear systems with polynomial data. The setting is the following. Let V be a complex vector space of countable dimension. Let R be an index set, and let Ξ_0 be a collection $\{(x_r, c_r)|r \in R\}$ with $x_r \in V$ and $c_r \in \mathbb{C}$. A linear form λ in $V^* = Hom_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$ is said to be a solution of the system Ξ_0 if $\lambda(x_r) = c_r$ for all r in R. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an irreducible algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$, and suppose that for each d, we have a system $\Xi_d = \{(x_r(d), c_r(d))|r \in R\}$ with the index set R independent of d in $\mathcal{X}$. We say that the family of systems $\{\Xi_d, d \in \mathcal{X}\}$ is polynomial if $x_r(d)$ and $c_r(d)$ belong respectively to $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$ and $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}]$. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X})$ be the field of fractions of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{X}]$, we denote by $V_{\mathcal{M}}$ the space $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$ and by $V_{\mathcal{M}}^*$ the space $Hom_{\mathcal{M}}(V_{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{M})$. Writing $V^* = Hom_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$, we notice that $V_{\mathcal{M}}^* = (V^*)_{\mathcal{M}}$. The following statement is a consequence of Bernstein's theorem and its corollary in Section 1 of [2].

Theorem 2.1. *(Bernstein) Suppose that in the above situation, the variety* $\mathcal{X}$ *is nonsingular and that there exists a non-empty subset* $\Omega \subset \mathcal{X}$ *open for the complex topology of* $\mathcal{X}$*, such that for each* d *in* Ω*, the system* Ξ_d *has a unique solution* λ_d*. Then the system* $\Xi = \{(x_r(d), c_r(d))|r \in R\}$ *over the field* $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}(\mathcal{X})$ *has a unique solution* $\lambda(d)$ *in* $V_{\mathcal{M}}^*$*, and* $\lambda(d) = \lambda_d$ *is the unique solution of* Ξ_d *on* Ω*.*

We give a corollary of this theorem which allows to extend meromorphically invariant linear forms on parabolically induced representations. To this end, we recall a few facts about flat sections of induced representations, which are for example discussed in section 3 of [10]. Let $\pi = \pi_1 \times \cdots \times \pi_t$ be a representation of G_n parabolically induced from $P_{\bar{n}}$, where each π_i is a representation of G_{n_i}. If $u = (u_1, \dots, u_t)$ is an element of $\mathcal{D}^t$, we denote by π_u the representation $|.|^{u_1}\pi_1 \times \cdots \times |.|^{u_1}\pi_t$. We denote by V_{π_u} the space of π_u. Call η_u the map from G_n to $\mathbb{C}$, defined by $\eta_u(nmk) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} |m_i|^{u_i}$, for n in $N_{\bar{n}}$, $m = diag(m_1, \dots, m_t)$ in $M_{\bar{m}}$and k in K_n, then $f \mapsto \eta_u f$ is an isomorphism between the K_n-modules V_π and V_{π_u}. We denote by f_u the map $\eta_u f$ when f belongs to V_π (hence $f_0 = f$); it is clear that $f_{u|K_n}$ is independant of u. If we denote by $\mathcal{F}_\pi$ the space of restrictions $f_c = f_{|K_n}$ for f in V_π, then $f_u \mapsto f_c$ is a vector space isomorphism between V_{π_u} and $\mathcal{F}_\pi$. Thus $\mathcal{F}_\pi$ is a model of the representation π_u, where the action of G_n is given by $\pi_u(g)f_c = (\pi_u(g)f_u)_c$. For g in G_n, the map $u \mapsto \pi_u(g)f_c$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{D}^t] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{F}_\pi$.

Corollary 2.1. *With notations as above, let $\mathcal{D}'$ be an affine line of $\mathcal{D}^t$ (i.e. a closed subvariety of $\mathcal{D}^t$, such that there is an isomorphism i from $\mathcal{D}'$ to $\mathcal{D}$). Let H be a closed subgroup of G_n, and χ a character of H, such that for all s in $\mathcal{D}'$, except for s in a finite subset S of $\mathcal{D}'$, the space $Hom_H(\pi_s, \chi)$ is of dimension ≤ 1. Suppose that for every s in some subset Ω of $\mathcal{D}'$, open for the topology induced by the complex structure, there is λ_s in $Hom_H(\pi_s, \chi)$, and that there is g in V_π such that $\lambda_s(g_s)$ is a non zero element of $\mathbb{C}[\Omega]$ which extends to an element Q of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{D}']$, then π_s is (H, χ)-distinguished for all s in $\mathcal{D}'$.*

Proof. We consider the space $V = \mathcal{F}_\pi$, which is of countable dimension. Let $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a basis of V, we consider for each s in $\mathcal{D}'$, the system

$$\Xi_s = \{(\pi_s(h)f_\alpha - \chi(h)f_\alpha, 0), h \in H, \alpha \in A\} \cup \{(g_{s,c}, Q(s))\}.$$

The family Ξ is polynomial, and Ξ_s has a unique solution $\widetilde{\lambda_s} : f_{s,c} \mapsto \lambda_s(f_s)$ for s in $\Omega - S \cap \Omega$ by hypothesis. Hence, according to Theorem 2.1, there is $\widetilde{\lambda}$ in $V^*_{\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{D}')}$, such that $\widetilde{\lambda}(s) = \widetilde{\lambda_s}$ on Ω. Let P be a nonzero element of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{D}']$, such that $\widetilde{\mu} = P\widetilde{\lambda}$ belongs to $(V^*)_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{D}']}$. Then, by the principle of analytic continuation, the linear map $\widetilde{\mu}(s)$ belongs to $Hom_H(\pi_s, \chi)$ for all s in $\mathcal{D}'$. In particular, for s_0 in $\mathcal{D}'$, if $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the order of s_0 as a zero of $\widetilde{\mu}$, the map $(q^{-i(s)} - q^{-i(s_0)})^{-m}\widetilde{\mu}(s)$ evaluated at s_0 is a nonzero element of $Hom_H(\pi_{s_0}, \chi)$. □

3 Classification of distinguished generic representations in terms of discrete series

In the section, we give a classification, of (H_n, χ_α)-distinguished generic representations of G_n for $Re(\alpha) \in [-1/2, 1/2]$. We recall from [27], that for any maximal Levi subgroup M which is not conjugate to H_n, and χ a character of M, no generic representation of G_n can be (M, χ)-distinguished. Moreover, discrete series are (M, χ)-distinguished if and only if n is even (and $M \simeq H_n$).

3.1 Representatives of $H \backslash G/P$

For this section, we will often write $G = G_n$, $H = H_n$, $W' = W'_n$, ϵ for the matrix ϵ_n, and $P = P_{\bar{n}}$ for a partition $\bar{n}$ of n. We first give an invariant which characterises the orbits for the action of H on the flag variety G/P. We therefore identify the elements of G/P with the set of flags $0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_t = V$, where $V = F^n$, and $dim(V_i) = n_1 + \cdots + n_i$. We do this via the map $g \mapsto 0 \subset gV_1^0 \subset \cdots \subset gV_t^0$, where V_i^0 is the subspace of V spanned by the $n_1 + \cdots + n_i$ first vectors of the canonical basis. If $0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_t = V$ is an element of G/P, as in [24], when $i \leq j$, we denote by $S_{i,j}$ a supplementary space of $V_i \cap \epsilon(V_{j-1}) + V_{i-1} \cap \epsilon(V_j)$ in $V_i \cap \epsilon(V_j)$. If $i = j$, we add the condition that the supplementary space $S_{i,i}$ we choose is ϵ-stable, which is possible as ϵ is semi-simple. Eventually, for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq t$, we denote by $S_{j,i}$, the space $\epsilon(S_{i,j})$, and as in [24], one has $V = \oplus_{(k,l) \in \{1,\dots,t\}^2} S_{k,l}$. If W is a subspace of V, which is ϵ-stable, we denote by W^- the eigen-subspace of ϵ associated to 1, and by W^+ the eigen-subspace of ϵ associated to -1.

We denote by $d_{i,j}$ the dimension of $S_{i,j}$, which only depends on the V_k's, and by $d_{i,i}^+$ and $d_{i,i}^-$ the dimensions $dim(S_{i,i}^+)$ and $dim(S_{i,i}^-)$ respectively.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_t = V$ and $0 \subset V_1' \subset \cdots \subset V_t' = V$ be two elements of G/P, then they are in the same M-orbit if and only if $d_{i,j} = d'_{i,j}$ if $i \leq j$, and moreover $d_{i,i}^+ = {d'_{i,i}}^+$ for all i.*

Proof. Write $V = \oplus_{(k,l)\in\{1,\ldots,t\}^2} S_{k,l}$. For $1 \leq i < j \leq t$, we choose an isomorphism $h_{i,j}$ between $S_{i,j}$ and $S'_{i,j}$. This defines an isomorphism $h_{j,i}$ between $S_{j,i}$ and $S'_{j,i}$, satisfying $h_{j,i}(v) = \epsilon h_{i,j}\epsilon(v)$ for all v in $S_{j,i}$. Eventually, for each l between 1 and t, we choose an isomorphism $h_{l,l}$ between $S_{l,l}$ and $S'_{l,l}$ commuting with ϵ, which is possible as $d_{l,l}^+ = {d'_{l,l}}^+$. □

Hence the elements of $H\backslash G/P$ correspond to sets of the form

$$s = \{n_{i,j}, 1 \leq i < j \leq t, (n_{k,k}^+, n_{k,k}^-), 1 \leq k \leq t\}$$

such that if we set $n_{j,i} = n_{i,j}$, and $n_{k,k} = n_{k,k}^+ + n_{k,k}^-$, then $n_i = \sum_{j=1}^t n_{i,j}$, and moreover $\sum_{k=1}^t n_{k,k}^+ = \sum_{k=1}^t n_{k,k}^-$ when n is even, and $\sum_{k=1}^t n_{k,k}^+ = \sum_{k=1}^t n_{k,k}^- + 1$ when n is odd. We denote by $I(\bar{n})$ this family of sets, and call its elements the relevant subpartitions of $\bar{n}$. We will often write $s = \{n_{i,j}\}$ when the context is clear. As $\bar{n}$ is a partition of n, we can write a matrix in G by blocks corresponding to the sub-blocks in s, we will in particular use the $((i<j),(j>i))$-th diagonal blocks of size $n_{i,j} + n_{j,i}$, and the (i,i)-th diagonal blocks of size $n_{i,i}$. We hope that the notation is clear.

Proposition 3.2. *(Representatives for $H\backslash G/P$)*
Let $s = \{n_{i,j}, 1 \leq i < j \leq t, (n_{k,k}^+, n_{k,k}^-), 1 \leq k \leq t\}$ be an element of $I(\bar{n})$.
Let u_s be any element of G such that $u_s\epsilon u_s^{-1}$ is the block diagonal matrix ϵ_s with (i,i)-block $\begin{pmatrix} I_{n_{i,i}^+} & \\ & -I_{n_{i,i}^-} \end{pmatrix}$, and $((i<j),(j>i))$-th diagonal block $\begin{pmatrix} & I_{n_{i,j}} \\ I_{n_{i,j}} & \end{pmatrix}$, (which is possible since ϵ and ϵ_s are conjugate), then $Hu_s^{-1}P$ corresponds to to the element s of $I(\bar{n})$.
For an (almost) explicit choice, we denote by a_s the block diagonal element with (i,i)-th block $I_{n_{i,i}}$, and $((i<j),(j>i))$-th diagonal block

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} I_{n_{i,j}} & -I_{n_{i,j}} \\ I_{n_{i,j}} & I_{n_{i,j}} \end{pmatrix},$$

and choose for w_s an element of W' such that $w_s\epsilon w_s^{-1}$ has its $(i<j)$-th diagonal block equal to $I_{n_{i,j}}$, its $(j>i)$-th diagonal block equal to $-I_{n_{j,i}}$, and its (i,i)-th diagonal block $\begin{pmatrix} I_{n_{i,i}^+} & \\ & -I_{n_{i,i}^-} \end{pmatrix}$, then $u_s = a_s w_s$ is a possible choice.

Proof. Using the notations of Proposition 2.1 of [24], we denote $S_{i,j} = u_s(V_{i,j}^0)$. From our choice of u_s, we have $dim(S_{i,i}^+) = n_{i,i}^+$, $dim(S_{i,i}^-) = n_{i,i}^-$, and $\epsilon(S_{i,j}) = S_{j,i}$ if $i < j$. It is now clear that the flag of the V_i's, defined by $V_i = u_s(V_i^0)$, corresponds to s. □

Hence a set of representatives for $P\backslash G/H$ is obtained as $\{u_s, s \in I(\bar{n})\}$.

3.2 Structure of the group $P \cap u_s H u_s^{-1}$ and modulus characters

Let u_s be an element of $R(P\backslash G/H)$ as in Proposition 3.2, corresponding to a sequence

$$s = \{n_{i,j}, 1 \leq i < j \leq t, (n_{k,k}^+, n_{k,k}^-), 1 \leq k \leq t\}$$

in $I(\bar{n})$, and ϵ_s the matrix $u_s\epsilon u_s^{-1}$. We give the structure of the group $P \cap u_s H u_s^{-1}$ following [24]. We already notice that the subgroup $u_s H u_s^{-1}$ is the subgroup of G fixed by the involution

$\theta_s : x \mapsto w_s\epsilon(x)w_s^{-1}$, where w_s is the element $u_s\epsilon(u_s)^{-1}$ of W'. We notice that θ_s is nothing else than $x \mapsto \epsilon_s x \epsilon_s^{-1}$. If C is a subset of G, we denote by $C^{<\theta_s>}$ the subset $C \cap u_sHu_s^{-1}$ of C. We sometimes, by abuse of notation, denote by s the subpartition of $\bar{n}$ by the $n_{i,j}$'s, hence the notation P_s makes sense, and designates a parabolic subgroup of G included in P. The Proposition 3.1. of [24] is still valid, with the same proof.

Proposition 3.3. *The group $P \cap u_sHu_s^{-1}$ is equal to $P_s \cap u_sHu_s^{-1}$.*

Now, as u_s is P_s-admissible (see [14]), and Lemma 21 of [14] applies.

Proposition 3.4. *The group $P^{<\theta_s>} = P \cap u_sHu_s^{-1}$ is the semi-direct product of $M_s^{<\theta_s>}$ and $N_s^{<\theta_s>}$. The group $M_s^{<\theta_s>}$ is given by the matrices*

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{1,1} & & & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & \\ & & A_{1,t} & & & & & & \\ & & & A_{2,1} & & & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & & & A_{2,t} & & & \\ & & & & & & A_{t,1} & & \\ & & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & & A_{t,t} \end{bmatrix}$$

with $A_{j,i} = A_{i,j}$ in $G_{n_{i,j}}$, and $A_{i,i}$ in the standard Levi subgroup of $G_{n_{i,i}}$ of type $(n^+_{i,i}, n^-_{i,i})$.

We will thus write elements of $M_s^{<\theta_s>}$ as

$$m = diag(m^+_{1,1}, m^-_{1,1}, \dots, m_{i,j}, \dots, m_{j,i}, \dots, m^+_{t,t}, m^-_{t,t}),$$

with $m^+_{k,k}$ in $G_{n^+_{k,k}}$, $m^-_{k,k}$ in $G_{n^-_{k,k}}$, and $m_{i,j} = m_{j,i}$ in $G_{n_{i,j}}$. Proposition 3.3 of [24] is also still valid, with the same proof.

Proposition 3.5. *If we denote by P'_s the standard parabolic subgroup $M \cap P_s$ of M, and by N'_s the unipotent radical of P'_s, then the following inclusion is true:*

$$N'_s \subset N_s^{<\theta_s>} N.$$

We are now going to give some relations between modulus characters, which will be used in the next section, for the classification of distinguished representations of G_n.

Proposition 3.6. *Let n be a positive integer, and s be an element of $I(\bar{n})$. If μ is a character of H, we denote by μ^s the character $h_s \mapsto \mu(u_s^{-1}h_su_s)$ of $u_sHu_s^{-1}$. Let α be a character of F^*, and $\chi_\alpha : h(g_1, g_2) \mapsto \alpha(det(g_1)/det(g_2))$ the associate character of H_n. We have the following relations.*

1. $(\delta_P \delta_{P'_s})_{|M_s^{<\theta_s>}} = (\delta_{P_s})_{|M_s^{<\theta_s>}}$.

2. *We have the equalities, for $m \in M_s^{<\theta_s>}$:*

$$\frac{\delta_{P_s^{<\theta_s>}}}{\delta_{P_s}^{1/2}}(m) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} |m^+_{i,i}|^{\frac{n^+_{j,j} - n^-_{j,j}}{2}} |m^-_{i,i}|^{\frac{n^-_{j,j} - n^+_{j,j}}{2}} |m^+_{j,j}|^{\frac{n^-_{i,i} - n^+_{i,i}}{2}} |m^-_{j,j}|^{\frac{n^+_{i,i} - n^-_{i,i}}{2}} \qquad (1)$$

$$\chi_\alpha(m) = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \frac{\alpha(m^+_{i,i})}{\alpha(m^-_{i,i})} \qquad (2)$$

Proof. As the characters are positive, and $M_s^{<\theta_s>}$ is reductive, according to Lemma 1.10 of [21], we need to check this equality only on the (connected) center $Z_s^{<\theta_s>}$ of $M_s^{<\theta_s>}$. An element λ of $Z_s^{<\theta_s>}$ is of the form

$$diag(\lambda_{1,1}^+ I_{n_{1,1}^+}, \lambda_{1,1}^- I_{n_{1,1}^-}, \dots, \lambda_{i,j} I_{n_{i,j}}, \dots, \lambda_{j,i} I_{n_{j,i}}, \dots, \lambda_{t,t}^+ I_{n_{t,t}^+}, \lambda_{t,t}^- I_{n_{t,t}^-}),$$

with $\lambda_{i,j} = \lambda_{j,i}$ when $i < j$. The assertion on χ_α^s is a consequence of the formula:

$$\chi_\alpha^s(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{\alpha(\lambda_{i,i}^+)^{n_{i,i}^+}}{\alpha(\lambda_{i,i}^-)^{n_{i,i}^-}} \Rightarrow \chi_\alpha^s(m) = \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{\alpha(m_{i,i}^+)^{n_{i,i}^+}}{\alpha(m_{i,i}^-)^{n_{i,i}^-}}.$$

As a particular case, by definition of δ_n, one obtains $\delta_n^s(m) = \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{|m_{i,i}^+|}{|m_{i,i}^-|}$ for m in $M_s^{<\theta_s>}$.
Let $\mathfrak{N}$ be $Lie(N_P)$, $\mathfrak{N}_s$ be $Lie(N_{P_s})$ and $\mathfrak{N}'_s$ be $Lie(N_{P'_s})$. The characters δ_P and $\delta_{P'_s}$ satisfy $\delta_P(m) = |det(Ad(m)_{|\mathfrak{N}})|$ and $\delta_{P'_s}(m) = |det(Ad(m)_{|\mathfrak{N}'_s})|$ for m in M_s, hence the equality $(\delta_P \delta_{P'_s})_{|M_s} = \delta_{P_s}{}_{|M_s}$ holds as we have $\mathfrak{N}_s = \mathfrak{N}'_s \oplus \mathfrak{N}_P$, this proves 1.
We now prove 2.. Let $k < l$ be two integers between 1 and t such that $n_{k,l} > 0$, and let n' be equal to $n - 2n_{k,l}$. We denote by s' the element of $I(\overline{n'})$, equal to $s - \{n_{k,l}, n_{l,k}\}$. We write an element m of $M_s^{<\theta_s>}$ as $diag(m_1, g, m_2, g, m_3)$, with $m' = diag(m_1, m_2, m_3) \in M_{s'}^{<\theta'_s>}$, and denote by a_i the number of terms on m_i's diagonal, then one has

$$\delta_{P_s}^{<\theta_s>}(m) = \delta_{P_{s'}}^{\theta_{s'}}(m')|m_1|^{n_{k,l}}|m_3|^{-n_{k,l}}|g|^{a_3-a_1},$$

but $\delta_{P_s}(m) = \delta_{P_{s'}}(m')|m_1|^{2n_{k,l}}|m_3|^{-2n_{k,l}}|g|^{2a_3-2a_1}$, so we obtain

$$\frac{\delta_{P_s}^{<\theta_s>}}{\delta_{P_s}^{1/2}}(m) = \frac{\delta_{P_{s'}}^{\theta_{s'}}}{\delta_{P_{s'}}^{1/2}}(m')$$

for m in $M_s^{\theta_s}$. As a consequence, by induction, if we write $n'' = n - \sum_{i<j} 2n_{i,j}$, and $s'' = (n_{1,1}^+, n_{1,1}^-, n_{2,2}^+, n_{2,2}^-, \dots, n_{t,t}^+, n_{t,t}^-)$ in $I(\overline{n''})$, we have, setting

$$m'' = diag(m_{1,1}^+, m_{1,1}^-, m_{2,2}^+, m_{2,2}^-, \dots, m_{t,t}^+, m_{t,t}^-),$$

the equality $\frac{\delta_{P_s^{<\theta_s>}}}{\delta_{P_s}^{1/2}}(m) = \frac{\delta_{P_{s''}^{<\theta_{s''}>}}}{\delta_{P_{s''}}^{1/2}}(m'')$. The result then follows as

$$\delta_{P_{s''}}^{<\theta_{s''}>}(m'') = \prod_{i<j} |m_{i,i}^+|^{n_{j,j}^+} |m_{j,j}^+|^{-n_{i,i}^+} |m_{i,i}^-|^{n_{j,j}^-} |m_{j,j}^-|^{-n_{i,i}^-}$$

and

$$\delta_{P_{s''}}(m'') = \prod_{i<j} (|m_{i,i}^+||m_{i,i}^-|)^{n_{j,j}} (|m_{j,j}^+||m_{j,j}^-|)^{-n_{i,i}}.$$

□

3.3 Distinguished generic representations

Let Pr be the continuous map defined by $Pr : g \mapsto g\epsilon g^{-1}$. It induces a continuous injection of $X = G/H$ onto the conjugacy class $Y = Ad(G)\epsilon$, which is closed as ϵ is diagonal. We start with the following lemma. If X is the set of F-points of an algebraic variety defined over F, we will denote by $\mathbb{X}$ this algebraic variety, and write $\mathbb{X}(F) = X$.

Lemma 3.1. *The double class PH is closed.*

Proof. The double class PH is equal to $Pr^{-1}(Ad(P)\epsilon)$, hence the lemma will be a consequence of the fact that $Ad(P)\epsilon$ is closed. But $Ad(\mathbb{P}).\epsilon$ is Zariski closed in $\mathbb{G}$ according to theorem 10.2 of [6]. As F is of characteristic zero, then $Ad(P)\epsilon$ is open and closed in $Ad(\mathbb{P})\epsilon(F)$ according to corollary A.1.6. of [1], and we are done. However it is possible to give an elementary proof whenever the characteristic is not 2. Let p be an element of $P \cap Ad(G)\epsilon$. Then $p = g\epsilon g^{-1}$ for some g in G, hence $pg = g\epsilon$. Now write $g = p'wu$ with $p' \in P$, $w \in W'$, and u in a $Ad(A)$-stable subset of U of N, such that $(p,u) \mapsto pwu$ is a bijection (see for example [9], before Proposition 1.3.2). Then $pp'wu = p'wu\epsilon = pw^{-1}(\epsilon)w\epsilon(u)$, hence $pp' = p'w^{-1}(\epsilon)$, and $p \in Ad(P)w^{-1}(\epsilon)$. In particular $Ad(\mathbb{P}).\epsilon(F) \subset P \cap Ad(G)\epsilon$ is a disjoint union of orbits $Ad(P)w(\epsilon)$ for some w's in W'. But any such $w(\epsilon)$ is diagonal, and conjugate to ϵ by an element of $\mathbb{P}$, this implies at once that it is conjugate to ϵ by an element of $\mathbb{M}$, hence by an element of M, as $w(\epsilon)$ has coefficients in F. Thus $Ad(\mathbb{P})\epsilon(F) = Ad(P)\epsilon$, and the result follows. □

We need the following result about preservation of distinction by parabolic induction.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $n_1 = 2m_1$ and $n_2 = 2m_2$ be to even integers, let α be a character of F^*. Let π_1 be a (H_{n_1}, χ_α)-distinguished representation of G_{n_1}, and π_2 be a (H_{n_2}, χ_α)-distinguished representation of G_{n_2}, then $\pi = \pi_1 \times \pi_2$ is $(H_{n_1+n_2}, \chi_\alpha)$-distinguished, and $\pi' = \pi_1 \times \alpha|.|^{-1/2}$ is $(H_{n_1+1}, \chi_\alpha \delta_{n_1+1}^{-1/2})$-distinguished.*

Proof. Let P be the standard parabolic subgroup of G with Levi $M = G_{n_1} \times G_{n_2}$, and $H = H_{n_1+n_2}$. As PH is closed, we deduce that $ind_{P\cap H}^H(\delta_P^{1/2}\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)$ is a quotient of $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$. But we have

$$Hom_H(ind_{P\cap H}^H(\delta_P^{1/2}\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2), \chi_\alpha) \simeq Hom_{P\cap H}(\delta_P^{1/2}\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2, \delta_{P\cap H}\chi_\alpha)$$

by Frobenius reciprocity law. We claim that $\delta_P = \delta_{P\cap H}^2$ on $P \cap H$. It suffices to check this on the center $Z_{M\cap H}$ of $M \cap H$. An element $Z_{M\cap H}$ is of the form

$$z(t_1, u_1, t_2, u_2, v_2) = diag(z(t_1, u_1), z(t_2, u_2)),$$

where

$$z(t_1, u_1) = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & & & \\ & u_1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & t_1 & \\ & & & & u_1 \end{pmatrix} \in G_{n_1},\ z(t_2, u_2) = \begin{pmatrix} t_2 & & & & \\ & u_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & t_2 & \\ & & & & u_2 \end{pmatrix} \in G_{n_2}.$$

Then one checks that

$$\delta_{P\cap H}(z(t_1, u_1, t_2, u_2, v_2)) = (|t_1|/|t_2|)^{m_1 m_2}(|u_1|/|u_2|)^{m_1 m_2},$$

whereas

$$\delta_P(z(t_1, u_1, t_2, u_2, v_2)) = |t_1 u_1|^{m_1 n_2}/|t_2 u_2|)^{n_1 m_2},$$

and the equality follows. Finally, we deduce that

$$Hom_H(ind_{P\cap H}^H(\delta_P^{1/2}\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2), \chi_\alpha) \simeq Hom_{M\cap H}(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2, \chi_\alpha \otimes \chi_\alpha),$$

hence is nonzero by hypothesis, and $\pi = \pi_1 \times \pi_2$ is (H, χ_α)-distinguished.
For π', the proof is the same. This time $H = H_{n_1+1}$ and P is the standard parabolic subgroup of $G = G_{n_1+1}$ with Levi $M = G_{n_1} \times G_1$, and we write δ for δ_{n_1+1}. The H-module $ind_{P\cap H}^H(\delta_P^{1/2}\pi_1 \otimes \alpha|.|^{-1/2})$ is still a quotient of $\pi_1 \times \alpha|.|^{-1/2}$ and we still have

$$Hom_H(ind_{P\cap H}^H(\delta_P^{1/2}\pi_1 \otimes \alpha|.|^{-1/2}), \chi_\alpha \delta^{-1/2}) \simeq Hom_{M\cap H}(\delta_P^{1/2}\pi_1 \otimes \alpha|.|^{-1/2}, \delta_{P\cap H}\chi_\alpha \delta^{-1/2}).$$

An element of the center $Z_{M\cap H}$ is of the form $z(t_1, u_1, t_2) = diag(z(t_1, u_1), t_2)$, with $z(t_1, u_1)$ as before, and one checks that $\delta_{P\cap H}(z(t_1, u_1, t_2)) = (|t_1|/|t_2|)^{m_1}$, whereas

$$\delta_P^{1/2}(z(t_1, u_1, t_2)) = |t_1 u_1|^{m_1/2}/|t_2|^{m_1},$$

hence $\frac{\delta_{P\cap H}}{\delta_P^{1/2}} = |t_1|^{m_1/2}|u_1|^{-m_1/2}$. Finally, one has

$$\delta^{-1/2}z(t_1,u_1,t_2) = |t_1|^{-m_1/2}|t_2|^{-1/2}|u_1|^{m_1/2},$$

hence the character $\chi = \frac{\delta_{P\cap H}\delta^{-1/2}}{\delta_P^{1/2}}$ of $M\cap H$ is equal to $\chi : diag(h_1,t_2) \mapsto |t_2|^{-1/2}$ for h_1 in H_{n_1} and t_2 in F^*. We deduce from the isomorphisms

$$Hom_H(ind_{P\cap H}^H(\delta_P^{1/2}\pi_1 \otimes \alpha|.|^{-1/2}), \chi_\alpha\delta^{-1/2}) \simeq Hom_{M\cap H}(\pi_1 \otimes \alpha|.|^{-1/2}, \chi_\alpha\chi)$$

$$\simeq Hom_{M\cap H}(\pi_1, \chi_\alpha),$$

that the first space is nonzero, hence that π' is $(H, \chi_\alpha\delta^{-1/2})$-distinguished. ☐

When n is even, (H_n, χ_α)-distinction is equivalent to (H_n, χ_α^{-1})-distinction.

Lemma 3.2. *Let α be a character of F^*, and π be a representation of G_n for n even, it is (H_n, χ_α)-distinguished if and only if it is (H_n, χ_α^{-1})-distinguished.*

Proof. It is enough to show one implication. Suppose that π is (H_n, χ_α)-distinguished, and let w be a Weyl element of G_n which satsifies for all $h(g_1, g_2)$ in H_n, the relation

$$w(h(g_1, g_2)) = h(g_2, g_1).$$

If L is a linear form which is (H_n, χ_α)-invariant on the space of π, Then $L \circ \pi(w)$ is (H_n, χ_α^{-1})-invariant. ☐

We will also need to know that, when $n = 2m$ is even, a representation of the form $\pi \times \pi^\vee$ is (H_n, χ_α)-distinguished for all characters α of F^*. For this purpose, we introduce the Shalika subgroup $S_n = \{\begin{pmatrix} g & x \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix}, g \in G_m, x \in \mathcal{M}_m(F)\}$ of G_n. We denote by θ again the character $\begin{pmatrix} g & x \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \theta(Tr(x))$ of S_n.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $n = 2m$ be an even positive integer. Let Δ be unitary discrete series of G_m, and α a character of F^*. The representation $\Pi_s = |.|^s\Delta \times |.|^{-s}\Delta^\vee$ is (S_n, θ)-distinguished for any complex s. As a consequence, Π_s is (H_n, χ_α)-distinguished whenever it is irreducible.*

Proof. For the first part, we introduce a complex parameter s, and consider the representation $\Pi_s = \Delta|.|^s \times \Delta^\vee|.|^{-s}$. If η_s is the smooth function

$$\eta_s : diag(g_1, g_2)uk \in M_{(m,m)}N_{(m,m)}K_n \mapsto (|g_1|/|g_2|)^s$$

on G_n (hence η_s corrsponds to the map $\eta_{(s,-s)}$ of section 2.3), then $f \mapsto f_s = \eta_s f$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space ismorphisme from Π to Π_s. We will denote by $\tilde{\eta}_s$ the map $g \in G \mapsto \eta_s(wg)$, where we denote by w the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} & I_m \\ I_m & \end{pmatrix}$. One can express $\tilde{\eta}_s$ as an integral, see [23], Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.3. *(Jacquet) Let Φ_0 be the characteristic function of $\mathcal{M}(m, \mathfrak{O})$, then from the Godement-Jacquet theory of Zeta functions of simple algebras, the integral $\int_{G_n} \Phi_0(h)|h|^s d^*h$ is convergent for $Re(s) \geq m-1$, and is equal to $1/P(q^{-s})$ for a nonzero polynomial P. Then, for $Re(s) \geq (m-1)/2$, and g in G_m, denoting by Φ the characteristic function of $\mathcal{M}_{m,2m}(\mathfrak{O})$ (matrices with m rows and $2m$ columns) one has*

$$\tilde{\eta}_s(g) = P(q^{-2s})|g|^s \int_{G_m} \Phi[(h,0)g]|h|^{2s}d^*h.$$

Let L be the linear fomr $v \otimes v^\vee \mapsto v^\vee(v)$ on $\pi \otimes \pi^\vee$. To avoid confusions, we will write, as in the statement above, d^*h for the Haar measure on G_m instead of dh. We define formally the linear form

$$\lambda_s : f_s \mapsto \int_{x\in\mathcal{M}_m} L(f_s)(w\begin{pmatrix} I_m & x \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix})\theta^{-1}(x)dx$$

on the space of Π_s. However, for $Re(s) \geq (m-1)/2$, we have

$$\lambda_s(f_s) = \int_{x\in\mathcal{M}_m} \tilde{\eta}_s\begin{pmatrix} I_m & x \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix} L(f_0)(w\begin{pmatrix} I_m & x \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix})\theta^{-1}(x)dx$$

$$= P(q^{-2s})\int_{x\in\mathcal{M}_m}\int_{h\in G_m} \Phi(h,hx)L(f_0)(w\begin{pmatrix} I_m & x \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix})|h|^{2s}\theta^{-1}(x)d^*hdx$$

$$= P(q^{-2s})\int_{x\in G_m}\int_{h\in G_m} \Phi(h,x)L(f_0)(w\begin{pmatrix} I_m & h^{-1}x \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix})|h|^{2s-m}|x|^m\theta^{-1}(x)d^*hd^*x.$$

As $g \mapsto L(f_0)(g)$ is a coefficient of the representation Π, which is unitary, it is bounded, hence this last integral is absolutely convergent at least for $s > m/2$. Moreover, as $P_{(m,m)}wN_{(m,m)}$ is open in G_n, the space $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(P_{(m,m)}\backslash P_{(m,m)}wN_{(m,m)}, \delta_{P_{(m,m)}}^{-1/2}|.|^s\Delta \otimes |.|^{-s}\Delta^\vee)$ is a subspace of Π_s, but it is also isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(N_{(m,m)}) \otimes V_{\Delta\otimes\Delta^\vee}$ (by restriction of the functions to $N_{(m,m)}$). Composing with L, implies that the space of functions

$$L_{f_0} : x \mapsto L(f_0)(w\begin{pmatrix} I_m & x \\ & I_m \end{pmatrix})$$

contains $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathcal{M}_m)$. We now fix h_0 in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(P_{(m,m)}\backslash P_{(m,m)}wN_{(m,m)}, \delta_{P_{(m,m)}}^{-1/2}\Delta \otimes \Delta^\vee)$, such that L_{h_0} extends the characteristic function of $\mathcal{M}_m(\mathfrak{P}^k)$, for k such that $\mathfrak{P}^k \subset Ker(\theta)$. One has, for good normalisations of measures, $\lambda_s(h_s) = 1$ for all $Re(s) > m/2$. Finally, by [16], it is know that $Hom_{S_n}(\Pi_s, \theta)$ is of dimension 1 for all s except maybe the finite number for which Π_s is reducible. Bernstein's principle of analytic continuation of invariant linear forms (Corollary 2.1)) then implies that Π_s is (S_n,θ)-distinguished for all s. Finally, according to the proof of Proposition 3.1. of [11], which applies to any irreducible π such that $Hom_{S_n}(\pi,\theta) \neq 0$ thanks to Lemma 6.1. of [16], we see that $Hom_{S_n}(\Pi_s,\theta) \neq 0$ implies that $Hom_{H_n}(\Pi_s,\chi_\alpha) \neq 0$ when Π_s is irreducible. $\square$

Remark 3.1. The step $Hom_{S_n}(\Pi_s,\theta) \neq 0$ implies that $Hom_{H_n}(\Pi_s,\chi_\alpha) \neq 0$ actually holds for any s (see 6.2. of [16]), but we don't need it.

Now we state the main result of this section. For its proof, and only there, we will write $[|.|^{k-1}\rho, \ldots, \rho]$ for the segment denoted everywhere else by $[\rho, \ldots, |.|^{k-1}\rho]$, because this is more convenient to compute Jacquet modules of such representations.

Theorem 3.1. *Let α be a character of F^*, with $Re(\alpha) \in [0,1/2]$. Let $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_t$ be a generic representation of the group G_n, for n even. It is H_n-distinguished if and only if if there is a reordering of the Δ_i's, and an integer r between 1 and $[t/2]$, such that $\Delta_{i+1} = \Delta_i^\vee$ for $i = 1,3,..,2r-1$, and Δ_i is (H_{n_i},χ_α)-distinguished for $i > 2r$.*
Let π be a generic representation of the group G_n, for n odd. It is $(H_n,\chi_{\alpha|.|^{-1/2}})$-distinguished if and only if it is of the form $\pi \times \alpha|.|^{-1/2}$, for π a (H_{n-1},χ_α)-distinguished generic representation of G_{n-1} such that $\pi \times \alpha|.|^{-1/2}$ is still generic (equivalently irreducible).

First, according to [12], the representation $\pi^\vee$ is isomorphic to $g \mapsto \pi({}^tg^{-1})$, hence it is (H,χ)-distinguished (for χ a character of H) if and only if π is (H,χ^{-1})-distinguished. We thus notice that the statement above yields a classification of (H,χ_α)-distinguished generic representations with $Re(\alpha) \in [-1/2,1/2]$. For convenience, we will say that an induced representation of the shape described in the statement of the theorem is (H,χ_α)-induced. First let's check that these

representations are in fact distinguished. Indeed, if a representation π of G_n is (H, χ_α)-induced, it is (H_n, χ_α)-distinguished when n is even, and $(H_n, \chi_\alpha {\delta_n}^{-1/2})$-distinguished when n is odd according to Propositions 3.7 and 3.8.
We now prove Theorem 3.1. Let χ be equal to $\mathbf{1}_H$ when n is even, and to ${\delta_n}^{-1/2}$ when n is odd. Let Δ be the representation $\Delta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta_t$ of P, by Bernstein-Zelevinsky's version of Mackey's theory (Theorem 5.2 of [4]), the H-module π has a factor series with factors the representations $ind_{u_s^{-1}Pu_s \cap H}^{H}((\delta_P^{1/2}\Delta)_s)$ (with $(\delta_P^{1/2}\Delta)_s(x) = \delta_P^{1/2}\Delta(u_s x u_s^{-1})$) when u_s describes $R(P\backslash G/H)$. Hence if π is (H, χ)-distinguished for a character of H, one of these representations admits a nonzero (H, χ)-invariant linear form on its space. This implies that there is u_s in $R(P\backslash G/H)$ such that the representation $ind_{P \cap u_s H u_s^{-1}}^{u_s H u_s^{-1}}(\delta_P^{1/2}\Delta)$ admits a nonzero $u_s H u_s^{-1}$-invariant linear form on its space. Frobenius reciprocity law says that the vector space $Hom_{u_s H u_s^{-1}}(ind_{P \cap u_s H u_s^{-1}}^{u_s H u_s^{-1}}(\delta_P^{1/2}\Delta), \chi\chi_\alpha)$ is isomorphic to the vector space $Hom_{P_s}(\delta_P^{1/2}\Delta, \delta_{P_s^{<\theta_s>}}\chi^s\chi_\alpha^s)$, as we already saw the equality $P \cap u_s H u_s^{-1} = P_s^{<\theta_s>}$.
Hence there is on the space V_Δ of Δ a linear nonzero form A_s, such that for every p in $P_s^{<\theta_s>}$ and for every v in V_Δ, one has $A_s(\Delta(p)v) = \frac{\delta_{P_s}^{<\theta_s>}\chi^s\chi_\alpha^s}{\delta_P^{1/2}}(p)A_s(v)$. We notice that the characters $\delta_P^{1/2}$, $\delta_{P_s}^{<\theta_s>}$ and $\chi^s\chi_\alpha^s$ are trivial on $N_s^{<\theta_s>}$. Let n' belong to N'_s, from Proposition 3.5, we can write n' as a product $n_s n_0$, with n_s in $N_s^{<\theta_s>}$, and n_0 in N. As N is included in $Ker(\Delta)$, one has $A_s(\Delta(n')(v)) = A_s(\Delta(n_s n_0)(v)) = A_s(\Delta(n_s)(v)) = A_s(v)$. Hence A_s induces a nonzero linear form L_s on the Jacquet module of V_Δ associated with N'_s, which is by definition the quotient of V_Δ by the subspace generated by the vectors $\Delta(n)v - v$, for $n \in N'_s$ and $v \in V_\Delta$. But we also know that $L_s(\Delta(m_s)v) = \frac{\delta_{P_s}^{<\theta_s>}\chi^s\chi_\alpha^s}{\delta_P^{1/2}}(m)L_s(v)$ for m in $M_s^{<\theta_s>}$, which reads, according to 1. of Proposition 3.6: $L_s(\delta_{P'_s}^{-1/2}(m_s)\Delta(m_s)v) = \frac{\delta_{P_s}^{<\theta_s>}\chi^s\chi_\alpha^s}{\delta_{P_s}^{1/2}}L_s(v)$.

This says that the linear form L_s is $(M_s^{<\theta_s>}, \frac{\delta_{P_s}^{<\theta_s>}\chi^s\chi_\alpha^s}{\delta_{P_s}^{1/2}})$-distinguished on the normalised Jacquet module $r_{M_s,M}(\Delta)$ (as M_s is also the standard Levi subgroup associated with N'_s).
We are going to prove by induction on n, the following theorem, which, according to the preceding discussion, will imply Theorem 3.1 (remembering that a generic representation is a commutative product of discrete series). If Δ is the segment $[|.|^{k-1}\rho, \ldots, \rho]$, we will write $r(\Delta)$ for $Re(c_\rho)$, $l(\Delta)$ for $Re(c_{|.|^{k-1}\rho})$, and we will call k the length of Δ.

Theorem 3.2. *Let n be a positive integer, let $\overline{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_t)$ be a partition of n, and let s belong to $I(\overline{n})$. For each n_i, let Δ_i be a discrete series of G_{n_i}, and denote by Δ the tensor product $\Delta_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta_t$. Suppose morever that one has $r(\Delta_i) \geq r(\Delta_{i+1})$ for i between 1 and $t-1$, and that Δ_i is longer than or of equal length as Δ_{i+1} if $r(\Delta_i) = r(\Delta_{i+1})$. Finally, let α be a character with $Re(\alpha) \in [0, 1/2]$ when n is even, and $Re(\alpha) \in [-1/2, 0]$ when n is odd. In this situation, if the M_s-module $r_{M_s,M}(\Delta)$ is $(M_s^{<\theta_s>}, \frac{\delta_{P_s}^{<\theta_s>}\chi_\alpha^s}{\delta_{P_s}^{1/2}})$-distinguished, then for each i between 1 and t, there is a unique j_i such that $\Delta_i = \Delta_{i,j_i}$. Moreover $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_t$ is (H, χ_α)-induced when n is even, whereas when n is odd, for some i_0, we have $\Delta_{i_0} = \alpha$, and the product of the remaining Δ_i's is $(H, \chi_{\alpha|.|^{1/2}})$-induced.*

Proof. We write each Δ_i under the the form $[\Delta_{i,1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i,t}]$ according to s, then the normalised Jacquet module $r_{M_s,M}(\Delta)$ equals $\Delta_{1,1} \otimes \Delta_{1,2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta_{t,t-1} \otimes \Delta_{t,t}$ by Proposition 9.5 of [32]. We now make a few observations, which are consequences of Equality (1) and of the fact that $r_{M_s,M}(\Delta)$ is $(M_s^{<\theta_s>}, \frac{\delta_{P_s}^{<\theta_s>}\chi_\alpha^s}{\delta_{P_s}^{1/2}})$-distinguished. We denote by $u_1, \ldots, u_r$ the indices such that $n_{u_i,u_i} = 1$. If n is even, then r is even as well, and there are $r/2$ indices u_i such that $n_{u_i} = n_{u_i,u_i}^{+}$ and $r/2$ indices u_i such that $n_{u_i} = n_{u_i,u_i}^{-}$. If n is odd, then so is $r = 2a+1$, and there are $a+1$ indices u_i such that $n_{u_i,u_i} = n_{u_i,u_i}^{+}$ and a indices u_i such that $n_{u_i,u_i} = n_{u_i,u_i}^{-}$. Automatically, we have $\Delta_{u_i} = \Delta_{u_i,u_i}$, and we will use the notation χ_{u_i} to remind us it is a character.

1. χ_{u_1} is equal to $\alpha|.|^{-1/2}$ if n is even and $n_{u_1} = n^+_{u_1,u_1}$, to $\alpha^{-1}|.|^{-1/2}$ if n is even and $n_{u_1} = n^-_{u_1,u_1}$, to α if n is odd and $n_{u_1} = n^+_{u_1,u_1}$, and to $\alpha^{-1}|.|^{-1}$ if n is odd and $n_{u_1} = n^-_{u_1,u_1}$. In the first case, we have $Re(\chi_{u_1,u_1}) \leq 0$, in the second case we have $Re(\chi_{u_1,u_1}) \leq -1/2$, in the third case we have $Re(\chi_{u_1,u_1}) \leq 0$ and $Re(\chi_{u_1,u_1}) \leq -1/2$ in the last case.

2. Let d be an integer between 1 and $r-1$. If $n_{u_d} = n^+_{u_d,u_d}$, then χ_{u_d} is of the form $\alpha|.|^{m_d}$ for $m_d \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. In this situation $\chi_{u_{d+1}} = \chi_{u_d}|.|^{-1}$ if $n_{u_{d+1}} = n^+_{u_{d+1},u_{d+1}}$, and $\chi_{u_{d+1}} = \alpha^{-1}|.|^{m_d}$ if $n_{u_{d+1}} = n^-_{u_{d+1},u_{d+1}}$. If $n_{u_d} = n^-_{u_d,u_d}$, then χ_{u_d} is of the form $\alpha^{-1}|.|^{m_d}$ for $m_d \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. In this situation $\chi_{u_{d+1}} = \chi_{u_d}|.|^{-1}$ if $n_{u_{d+1}} = n^-_{u_{d+1},u_{d+1}}$, and $\chi_{u_{d+1}} = \alpha|.|^{m_d}$ if $n_{u_{d+1}} = n^+_{u_{d+1},u_{d+1}}$.

3. The observations 1. and 2. above imply that for all i between 1 and r, we have $Re(\chi_{u_i}) \leq 0$ when n is even, $\leq 1/2$ when n is odd and $\leq -1/2$ if moreover $n_{u_1,u_1} = n^-_{u_1,u_1}$.

4. If $n_{i,j} \neq 0$ for some $i < j$. We denote by n' the integer $n - 2n_{i,j}$, by $\overline{n'}$ the partition of $n - 2n_{i,j}$ equal to $(n_1, \ldots, n_i - n_{i,j}, \ldots, n_j - n_{i,j}, \ldots, n_t)$, and by s' the element of $I(\overline{n'})$ equal to $(n_{1,1}, \ldots, n_{i,j-1}, n_{i,j+1}, \ldots, n_{j,i-1}, n_{j,i+1}, \ldots, n_{t,t})$. In this situation, if we write an element m of $M_s^{<\theta_s>}$ as $diag(h, m_{i,j}, h', m_{j,i}, h'')$, and denote by m' the element $diag(h, h', h'')$, we have:

$$\frac{\delta_{P_s^{<\theta_s>}}}{\delta_{P_s}^{1/2}}(m) = \frac{\delta_{P_{s'}^{<\theta_{s'}>}}}{\delta_{P_{s'}}^{1/2}}(m'). \tag{3}$$

5. If $n_{1,1} > 1$, then $n^+_{1,1} = n^-_{1,1}$. We denote by n' the integer $n - n_{1,1}$, by $\overline{n'}$ the partition of $n - n_{1,1}$ equal to $(n_1 - n_{1,1}, n_2, \ldots, n_t)$, and by s' the element of $I(\overline{n'})$ equal to $(n_{1,2}, \ldots, n_{1,t}, \ldots, n_{t,1}, \ldots, n_{t,t})$. We have for $m = diag(m_{1,1}, m') \in M_s^{<\theta_s>}$:

$$\frac{\delta_{P_s^{<\theta_s>}}}{\delta_{P_s}^{1/2}}(m) = \frac{\delta_{P_{s'}^{<\theta_{s'}>}}}{\delta_{P_{s'}}^{1/2}}(m'). \tag{4}$$

6. If n is odd and $n_{1,1} = 1 = n^+_{1,1}$, we denote by n' the integer $n - n_{1,1}$, by $\overline{n'}$ the partition of $n - n_{1,1}$ equal to $(n_1 - n_{1,1}, n_2, \ldots, n_t)$, and by s' the element of $I(\overline{n'})$ equal to $(n_{1,2}, \ldots, n_{1,t}, \ldots, n_{t,1}, \ldots, n_{t,t})$. We have for $m = diag(m_{1,1}, m') \in M_s^{<\theta_s>}$:

$$\frac{\delta_{P_s}^{<\theta_s>} \chi^s_\alpha}{\delta_{P_s}^{1/2}}(m) = \alpha(m_{1,1}) \frac{\delta_{P_{s'}}^{<\theta_{s'}>} \chi^{s'}_{\alpha|.|^{1/2}}}{\delta_{P_{s'}}^{1/2}}(m'). \tag{5}$$

We now start the induction, the case $n = 1$ is obvious. We now suppose that the statement of the theorem is satisfied for all positive integers $\leq n-1$ with $n \geq 2$.

A) If there is $j > 1$ such that $\Delta_{1,j}$ is not empty. We take the smallest $j > 1$, which we denote l, such that $\Delta_{1,l}$ is non empty. In particular, Δ_1 is of either of the form $\Delta_1 = [\Delta_{1,l}, \Delta''_l]$, or of the form $\Delta_1 = [\Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{1,l}, \Delta''_1]$ if $\Delta_{1,1}$ is non empty. We write $\Delta_l = [\Delta_{l,1}, \Delta''_l]$.

A.1) First we treat the case "$\Delta_{1,1}$ non empty". We set $\Delta'_1 = \Delta_{1,1}$, $\Delta'_2 = \Delta''_1$, $\Delta'_j = \Delta_{j-1}$ for $j > 2$ different from $l+1$, and $\Delta'_{l+1} = \Delta''_l$. In this case, we have $r(\Delta'_i) \geq r(\Delta'_{i+1})$ for i between 1 and t, and we can apply our induction hypothesis to Δ' thanks to Equality (3). This first implies that Δ''_1 is empty, because otherwise it would be equal to $\Delta_{1,p}$ for some $p > l$, and Δ_p would be equal to $\Delta_{p,k} = \Delta^\vee_{k,p}$, which would imply that $r(\Delta_p) > r(\Delta_l)$, and this is absurd. Hence $\Delta_1 = [\Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{1,l}]$. It also implies that Δ''_l is either empty, or equal to $\Delta_{l,q}$, for some $q > 1$. We thus have three subcases.

A.1.1) We suppose that Δ_l'' is empty. If $n_{1,1} > 1$, then $\Delta_{1,1}$'s central character would be trivial, and $\Delta_l = \Delta_{1,l}^{\vee}$ would satisfy $r(\Delta_l) > r(\Delta_1)$, and this is absurd. Thus, we have $\Delta_{1,1} = \chi_1$, for χ_1 a character of F^*. In this case, we have observed in 3. above that $Re(\chi_1)$ is $\leq 1/2$. This implies that $l(\Delta_{1,l})$ is negative, and in turn that $r(\Delta_l) = r(\Delta_{l,1})$ is positive, hence $> l(\Delta_{1,l}) \geq r(\Delta_1)$, and this is absurd as well. The case A.1.1) doesn't occur.

A.1.2) We now suppose $\Delta_l'' = \Delta_{l,l}$. If $n_{l,l} > 1$, then $\Delta_{l,l}$'s central character would be trivial, thus we would have $r(\Delta_{l,1}) > 0$, which would imply $l(\Delta_{1,l}) < 0$, and in turn $r(\Delta_1) < l(\Delta_{1,l}) < 0 < r(\Delta_{l,1}) \leq r(\Delta_l)$, which is absurd. Hence $\Delta_{l,l} = \chi_l$ is a character of F^*, so $\Delta_l = [\Delta_{l,1}, \chi_l]$, and $\Delta_1 = [\Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{1,l}]$. If $n_{1,1} > 1$, then $r(\Delta_{1,1}) \leq -1/2$ (as Δ_1's cuspidal support must consist of characters of F^*, as it is the case of $\Delta_{1,l}$ because χ_l is a character), hence $l(\Delta_{1,l}) \leq -3/2$, and $r(\Delta_{l,1}) \geq 3/2$. This implies the inequalities $r(\Delta_l) = Re(\chi_l) \geq 1/2 > 0 > r(\Delta_{1,l}) = r(\Delta_1)$, which is absurd. Hence $\Delta_{1,1} = \chi_1$ is a character of F^*, and $\Delta_1 = [\chi_1, \Delta_{1,l}]$, whereas $\Delta_l = [\Delta_{l,1}, \chi_l]$. As $Re(\chi_1) \leq 0$ thanks to Observation 1., we thus have $l(\Delta_{1,l}) \leq -1/2$, hence $r(\Delta_{l,1}) \geq 1/2$, and $Re(\chi_l) = r(\Delta_l) \geq 0$. This is absurd as $r(\Delta_1) \leq l(\Delta_{1,l}) \leq -1/2$.

A.1.3) We finally suppose $\Delta_l'' = \Delta_{l,q}$, with $1 < q$ and $q \neq l$, i.e. $\Delta_l = [\Delta_{l,1}, \Delta_{l,q}]$. In this case $\Delta_q = \Delta_{q,l}$ by induction, but this is absurd as it would imply the inequality $r(\Delta_q) = r(\Delta_{q,l}) > r(\Delta_{1,l}) = r(\Delta_1)$.

Hence, the conclusion of the case A.1) is that $\Delta_{1,1}$ is empty. We are thus automatically in the following case A.2).

A.2) $\Delta_1 = [\Delta_{1,l}, \Delta_1'']$. We recall that $\Delta_l = [\Delta_{l,1}, \Delta_l'']$. By induction, as in the first case, we see that either $\Delta_1'' = \Delta_{1,p}$ for some $p > l$, or empty, and $\Delta_l'' = \Delta_{l,q}$ for some $q > 1$ or it is empty. If Δ_1'' was not empty, we would have $\Delta_p = \Delta_{p,1}$, which would contradict $r(\Delta_l) > r(\Delta_p)$. Thus $\Delta_1 = \Delta_{1,l}$. Now if Δ_l'' was not empty, then we would have $\Delta_q = \Delta_{q,l}$, and this would imply $r(\Delta_q) = r(\Delta_{q,l}) > r(\Delta_{1,l}) = r(\Delta_1)$, a contradiction.

Hence, in case A), we have $\Delta_1 = \Delta_{1,l}$, and $\Delta_l = \Delta_{l,1}$, and Δ is of the expected form by induction, thanks to Equality (3).

B) This is the remaining case $\Delta_1 = \Delta_{1,1}$, we have five sub-cases.

B.1) If $n_{1,1} > 1$, then Δ_1 is (H, χ_α)-distinguished. Using notations of Observation 5., and setting $\Delta' = \Delta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta_t$, then $r_{M_{s'}, M_{\overline{n'}}}(\Delta')$ is $(M_{s'}^{<\theta_{s'}>}, \frac{\delta_{P_{s'}}^{<\theta_{s'}>} \chi_\alpha^{s'}}{\delta_{P_{s'}}^{1/2}})$-distinguished according to Equality (4). We conclude by induction that Δ is of the expected form.

B.2) The integer n is even, and $n_{1,1} = n_{1,1}^+$. In this case $\chi_1 = \alpha|.|^{-1/2}$, and by Observation 2., we know that all χ_{u_i}'s are of real part ≤ 0. Now their product is trivial as $r_{M_s,M}(\Delta)$ is $(M_s^{<\theta_s>}, \frac{\delta_{P_s}^{<\theta_s>} \chi_\alpha^s}{\delta_{P_s}^{1/2}})$-distinguished, hence trivial on F^* diagonally embedded in the center of $M_s^{<\theta_s>}$. We deduce that they all have a real part equal to zero. In particular we have $Re(\alpha) = 1/2$, but by Observation 2., χ_{u_2,u_2} is either equal to $\alpha^{-1}|.|^{-1/2}$, or equal to $\chi_1|.|^{-1}$, and in both cases, we have $Re(\chi_{u_2,u_2}) < 0$, which is absurd.

B.3) The integer n is even, and $n_{1,1} = n_{1,1}^-$. In this case $\chi_1 = \alpha^{-1}|.|^{-1/2}$, but Observation 2. implies again that the χ_{u_i}'s are of real part ≤ 0, and using the same argument as above, they must have real parts equal to 0. This contradicts the fact that we have $Re(\chi_1) \leq -1/2$.

B.4) The integer n is odd, and $n_{1,1} = n_{1,1}^+$. In this case, thanks to Equality (5), we obtain that $\chi_1 = \alpha$, and that $r_{M_{s'},M_{\overline{n'}}}(\Delta')$ is $(M_{s'}^{<\theta_{s'}>}, \frac{\delta_{P_{s'}}^{<\theta_{s'}>} \chi_{\alpha|.|^{1/2}}^{s'}}{\delta_{P_{s'}}^{1/2}})$- distinguished for Δ' equal to $\Delta_2 \times \cdots \times \Delta_t$, we conclude by induction that Δ is of the expected form.

B.5) The integer n is odd, and $n_{1,1} = n_{1,1}^-$. In this case $\chi_1 = \alpha^{-1}|.|^{-1}$ is of real part $\leq -1/2$, and r is ≥ 3. Observation 3. implies that the real part of all characters χ_i is $\leq -1/2$, hence the product of these characters is of real part $\leq -3/2$. This contradicts the fact that it should be equal to α, as as $r_{M_s,M}(\Delta)$ is $(M_s^{<\theta_s>}, \frac{\delta_{P_s}^{<\theta_s>} \chi_{\alpha}^{s}}{\delta_{P_s}^{1/2}})$-distinguished. $\square$

We have the following corollary to Theorem 3.1, in terms of Galois parameter, which was brought to our attention by Wee Teck Gan.

Corollary 3.1. *Let π be a generic representation of G_{2n}, and $\phi(\pi)$ its Langlands' parameter. Then π is H_{2n}-distinguished if and only if $\phi(\pi)$ preserves a non-degenerate symplectic form on its space.*

Proof. If π is a unitary discrete series $\Delta = St_k(\rho)$, according to the Theorem 5.3 of this paper (which is a compilation of results from [19], [20], and [30]), the representation Δ is H_n-distinguished if and only if either k is odd and $L(\rho, \wedge^2, s)$ has a pole at zero, or k is even and $L(s, Sym^2, \rho)$ has a pole at zero. We then notice that $Sp(k)$ is symplectic when k is even, and orthoganal when k is odd, whereas ρ preserves a symplectic form if and only if $L(\rho, \wedge^2, s)$ has a pole at zero, and a symmetric bilinear form if and only if $L(s, Sym^2, \rho)$ has a pole at zero. Hence Δ is H_n-distinguished if and only if $\phi(\Delta)$ preserves a nonzero symplectic form on its space, which is necessarily nondegenerate as $\phi(\Delta)$ is irreducible. Now we notice that if (ρ, V_ρ) is a representation of W'_F, the representation $\rho \oplus \rho^\vee$ of W'_F preserves the nondegenerate symplectic form $(v+v', w+w') \mapsto w'(v) - v'(w)$. This implies, according to Theorem 3.1 and the unitary discrete series case, that H_{2n}-distinguished generic representations have a Galois parameter which fixes a nondegenerate symplectic form.
In general, we write $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_t$, and ϕ_i for the Langlands' parameter of Δ_i. We prove by induction on t that if $(\phi(\pi), V) = \oplus_{i=1}^t (\phi_i, V_i)$ fixes a non degenerate symplectic form on its space, then π is H-induced.
If $t = 1$, π is just the discrete series Δ_1, which is unitary because the central character of Δ_1 is trivial (as it is the determinant of $\phi(\Delta_1)$), and we already saw that π is distinguished.
$t \to t+1$: we write $\phi = \oplus_{i=1}^t \phi_i$, hence $\phi \oplus \phi_{t+1}$ fixes a nondegenerate symplectic form B. If the sum $\phi \oplus \phi_{t+1}$ is orthogonal for B, then $B_{|V_\rho \times V_\rho}$ and $B_{|V_{t+1} \times V_{t+1}}$ are nondegenerate and symplectic, hence V_ρ and V_{t+1} are even dimensional, and we conclude by induction. Otherwise, there is ϕ_i, such that $B_{|V_{t+1} \times V_i} \neq 0$. There is no harm to suppose that $i = t$. But then $V_{t+1} \simeq V_t^\vee$ and $B_{|(V_t \oplus V_{t+1}) \times (V_t \oplus V_{t+1})}$ is symplectic non degenerate, hence $V = (V_t \oplus V_{t+1}) \oplus (V_t \oplus V_{t+1})^\perp$, and we conclude by induction. $\square$

The local Langlands functorial transfer from $SO(2n+1, F)$ to G_{2n} has been made explicit in [18] for generic representations of $SO(2n+1, F)$. It is easy to check from Theorem B of [loc. cit.], Theorem 3.1 above, and Theorem 6.1. of [27], that tempered representations of G_{2n} which are H_{2n}-distinguished are the image by the functorial transfer of the generic tempered representations of $SO(2n+1, F)$.

4 L factors and exceptional poles

4.1 Reminder on local L functions of pairs

In this paragraph, we recall results from [15] and [10] about L-functions of pairs. If P and Q are two elements of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm u}]$ for $u \in \mathcal{D}^t$, we say that $1/P$ divides $1/Q$ if P divides Q.

Proposition 4.1. *Let π and π' be two representations of Whittaker type of G_n, let W belong to $W(\pi,\theta)$, W' belong to $W(\pi',\theta^{-1})$, and ϕ belong to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^n)$. Then the integrals*

$$\Psi(W,W',\phi,s)=\int_{N_n\backslash G_n} W(g)W'(g)\phi(L_k(g))|g|^s dg$$

and

$$\Psi_{(0)}(W,W',s)=\int_{N_{n-1}\backslash G_{n-1}} W(diag(g,1))W'(diag(g,1))|g|^{s-1}dg$$

converge absolutely for $Re(s)$ large, and extend to $\mathbb{C}$ as elements of $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$.
If π'' is a representation of G_m for $m<n$, and W'' belongs to $W(\pi'',\theta^{-1})$, the same properties are true for for the integrals

$$\Psi(W,W'',s)=\int_{N_m\backslash G_m} W(diag(g,I_{n-m})W''(g)|g|^{s-(n-m)/2}dg.$$

Moreover, when W, W', W'' and ϕ vary in their respective spaces, the integrals $\Psi(W,W',\phi,s)$, $\Psi(W,W'',\phi,s)$ and $\Psi_{(0)}(W,W',s)$ span respectively a fractional ideal $I(\pi,\pi')$, $I(\pi,\pi'')$ and $I_{(0)}(\pi,\pi')$ of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$. The fractional ideal $I(\pi,\pi')$ (resp. $I(\pi,\pi'')$, resp. $I_{(0)}(\pi,\pi')$) admits a unique generator $L(\pi,\pi',s)$ (resp. $L(\pi,\pi'',s)$, resp. $L_{(0)}(\pi,\pi',s)$) which is an Euler factor. If $n<m$, the factor $L(\pi,\pi'',s)$ is by definition $L(\pi'',\pi,s)$.

We now fix a Haar measure dx on F, which is self-dual with respect to the character θ. If m is a positive integer, and ϕ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^m)$, we denote by

$$\widehat{\phi}^\theta:(x_1,\dots,x_m)\mapsto\int_{t\in F^m}\phi(t)\theta(-\sum_{i=1}^m t_ix_i)dt_1\dots dt_m$$

the Fourier transform of ϕ. We recall the functional equation of L-functions of pairs.

Proposition 4.2. *Let π, π' and π'' be respectively representations of Whittaker type of G_n, G_n and G_m for $m<n$. Let W belong to $W(\pi,\theta)$, W' belong to $W(\pi',\theta^{-1})$, W'' belong to $W(\pi'',\theta^{-1})$ and ϕ belong to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^n)$. Then there are unit $\epsilon(\pi,\pi',\theta,s)$ and $\epsilon(\pi,\pi'',\theta,s)$ of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$, such that the following functional equation is satisfied:*

$$\frac{\Psi(\tilde{W},\tilde{W'},\widehat{\phi}^\theta,1-s)}{L(\tilde{\pi},\tilde{\pi'},1-s)}=\epsilon(\pi,\pi',\theta,s)\frac{\Psi(W,W',\phi,s)}{L(\pi,\pi',s)}$$

and

$$\frac{\Psi(\widetilde{W},\widetilde{W''},1-s)}{L(\widetilde{\pi},\widetilde{\pi''},1-s)}=\epsilon(\pi,\pi'',\theta,s)\frac{\Psi(W,W'',s)}{L(\pi,\pi'',s)}$$

With the notations above, we write

$$\gamma(\pi,\pi',\theta,s)=\frac{\epsilon(\pi,\pi',\theta,s)L(\widetilde{\pi},\widetilde{\pi'},1-s)}{L(\pi,\pi',s)}$$

and

$$\gamma(\pi,\pi'',\theta,s)=\frac{\epsilon(\pi,\pi'',\theta,s)L(\widetilde{\pi},\widetilde{\pi''},1-s)}{L(\pi,\pi'',s)}$$

in $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{D})$. We recall the definition of an exceptional pole:

Definition 4.1. *Let π and π' be representations of G_n of Whittaker type, and let s_0 be a pole of order d of $L(\pi,\pi',s)$. For W in $W(\pi,\theta)$, W' in $W(\pi,\theta^{-1})$, and ϕ in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^n)$, we write the Laurent expansion*

$$\Psi(W,W',\phi,s)=\frac{T(W,W',\phi,s)}{(1-q^{s_0-s})^d}+\ \textit{terms of higher degree.}$$

We say that s_0 is an exceptional pole of $L(\pi,\pi',s)$ if the trilinear form T vanishes on the space $W(\pi,\theta)\times W(\pi,\theta)\times \mathcal{C}_{c,0}^\infty(F^n)$, i.e. if it is of the form $T:(W,\phi)\mapsto B(W,W')\phi(0)$, where B is a nonzero bilinear form. By definition of the integrals $\Psi(W,W',\phi,s)$, the linear form B is a nonzero element of the space $Hom_{G_n}(\pi\otimes\pi',|.|^{-s_0})$.

The exceptional poles of $L(\pi,\pi',s)$ are actually those of $L(\pi,\pi',s)/L_{(0)}(\pi,\pi',s)$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let π and π' be two representations of Whittaker type of G_n. The ideal $I_{(0)}(\pi,\pi')$ is spanned by the integrals $\Psi(W,W',\phi,s)$ for W in $W(\pi,\theta)$, W' in $W(\pi',\theta^{-1})$ and ϕ in $\mathcal{C}_{c,0}^\infty(F^n)$. The factor $L_{(0)}(\pi,\pi',s)$ thus divides $L(\pi,\pi',s)$, and the quotient*

$$L_{rad(ex)}(\pi,\pi',s)=L(\pi,\pi',s)/L_{(0)}(\pi,\pi',s)$$

has simple poles, which are exactly the exceptional poles of $L(\pi,\pi',s)$.

Proof. Writing the formula, for $Re(s)$ large and ϕ in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^n)$:

$$\Psi(W,W',\phi,s) \tag{6}$$

$$=\int_{K_n}\int_{N_n\backslash P_n} W(pk)W'(pk)|p|^{s-1}dp\int_{F^*}\phi(t\eta_n(k))c_\pi(tk)c_{\pi'}(tk)|t|^{ns}d^*tdk,$$

and using smoothnes of ϕ, W, and W', we see that $\Psi(W,W',\phi,s)$ is a finite sum of integrals of the form $P_i(q^{\pm s})\Psi_{(0)}(W_i,W_i',s)$ if ϕ vanishes at zero, hence it belongs to $I_{(0)}(\pi,\pi',s)$. Conversely, take an integral $\Psi_{(0)}(W,W',s)$, and $r\geq 1$ large enough for W and W' to be right invariant under $K_{n,r}$. Then, if ϕ in $\mathcal{C}_{c,0}^\infty(F^n)$ is the characteristic function of $\eta_n(K_{n,r})$, the integral $\Psi(W,W',\phi,s)$ reduces to a positive multiple of $\Psi_{(0)}(W,W',s)$ according to Equation (6). This proves that $I_{(0)}(\pi,\pi')$ is spanned by the integrals $\Psi(W,W',\phi,s)$ for W in $W(\pi,\theta)$, W' in $W(\pi',\theta^{-1})$ and ϕ in $\mathcal{C}_{c,0}^\infty(F^n)$. It implies that $L_{(0)}(\pi,\pi',s)$ divides $L(\pi,\pi',s)$. Finally, according to Equation (6), any integral $\Psi(W,W',\phi,s)$ is a finite sum of integrals $\Psi_{(0)}(W_i,W_i',s)\int_{F^*}\phi_i(tk)c_\pi(t)c_{\pi'}(t)|t|^{ns}d^*t$, hence $L(\pi,\pi',s)$ divides the product $L_{(0)}(\pi,\pi',s)L(c_\pi c_{\pi'},ns)$, and the quotient $L_{rad(ex)}(\pi,\pi',s)$ divides the factor $L(c_\pi c_{\pi'},ns)$, it thus has simple poles. ☐

If π and π' are both representations of G_n of Whittaker type, the factor $L_{ex}(\pi,\pi',s)$ is by definition the product of the factors $1/(1-q^{s_0-s})^d$ for s_0 an exceptional pole of $L(\pi,\pi',s)$, and d its order in $L(\pi,\pi',s)$. If π'' is a representation of Whittaker type of G_m for $m<n$, we say that the factor $L(\pi,\pi'',s)$ has no exceptional poles. Hence, in this case, we write $L_{rad(ex)}(\pi,\pi'')=L_{ex}(\pi,\pi',s)=1$, and we define $L_{(0)}(\pi,\pi'',s)$ to be $L(\pi,\pi'',s)$. We recall one of the main results of [10] (namely Theorems 2.1. and 2.2, or more precisely a consequence of their proofs), which will be used later.

Proposition 4.4. *Let π and π' be two generic representations of G_n and G_m respectively ($m\leq n$), both with completely reducible derivatives. For l in the set $\{0,\dots,n\}$ and k in the set $\{0,\dots,m\}$, write*

$$\pi^{(n-k)}=\oplus_{i_k=1}^{e_k}\rho_{i_k}$$

and

$$\pi'^{(m-l)}=\oplus_{j_l=1}^{f_l}\rho'_{i_l}$$

for their decomposition in simle components. Then the factor $L_{(0)}(\pi,\pi',s)$, is equal to the lcm of the factors $L_{ex}(\rho_{i_k},\rho'_{j_l},s)$, for $(k,l)\in\{0\dots,n\}\times\{0\dots,m\}$ with $k+l<n+m$, and $(i_k,j_l)\in\{1,\dots,e_k\}\times\{1,\dots,f_l\}$.

By the explicit expression of L-functions of pairs for discrete series, given in Theorem 8.2. of [15], we have the following result.

Proposition 4.5. *Let Δ and Δ' be two discrete series of G_n and G_m respectively, with $m\leq n$. For any k and k', such that $\Delta^{(k)}$ and $\Delta^{(k')}$ are nonzero, the factor $L(\Delta^{(k)},\Delta'^{(k')},s)$ divides $L(\Delta,\Delta',s)$.*

We finally recall the following result, whose proof is mentioned in [22].

Proposition 4.6. *Let π and π' be generic representations of G_n, then the L-factor of pairs $L(\pi,\pi',s)$ has an exceptional pole at s_0 if and only if $\pi' \simeq |.|^{-s_0}\pi^\vee$.*

Proof. We only need to show that if $\pi' \simeq |.|^{-s_0}\pi^\vee$, then the factor $L(\pi,\pi',s)$ has an exceptional pole at s_0. Replacing π by $|.|^{s_0}\pi$, it is sufficient to prove the statement for $s_0 = 0$. So suppose that $\pi' = \pi^\vee$. From Equation (6), for $Re(s) << 0$, one has:

$$\Psi(\tilde{W},\tilde{W'},\widehat{\phi}^\theta,1-s)$$

$$= \int_{K_n}\int_{N_n\backslash P_n} \tilde{W}(pk)\tilde{W'}(pk)|det(p)|_F^{-s}dp \int_{F^*}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(t\eta_n(k))|t|_F^{n(1-s)}d^*tdk. \quad (7)$$

Now we notice that $B_{\pi^\vee,\pi,1-s} : (\tilde{W},\tilde{W'}) \mapsto \Psi_{(0)}(\tilde{W},\tilde{W'},1-s)/L_(\pi^\vee,\pi,1-s)$ is an element of $Hom_{P_n}(W(\pi^\vee,\theta^{-1})\otimes W(\pi,\theta),|.|^s)$. We can write:

$$\Psi(\tilde{W},\tilde{W'},\widehat{\phi},1-s)/L(\pi^\vee,\pi,1-s)$$

$$= \int_{K_n} B_{\pi^\vee,\pi,1-s}(\pi^\vee(k)\tilde{W},\pi(k)\tilde{W'})\int_{F^*}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(t\eta_n(k))|t|^{n(1-s)}d^*tdk. \quad (8)$$

The second member of the equality is actually a finite sum:

$$\sum_i \lambda_i B_{\pi^\vee,\pi,1-s}(\pi^\vee(k_i)\tilde{W}),\pi(k_i)\tilde{W'})\int_{F^*}\widehat{\phi}(t\eta_n(k_i))|t|^{n(1-s)}d^*t,$$

where the λ_i's are positive constants and the k_i's are elements of K_n independent of s.
Notice that for $Re(s) < 1$, the integral

$$\int_{F^*}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(t\eta_n(k_i))|t|^{n(1-s)}d^*t$$

is absolutely convergent, and defines a holomorphic function. So we have an equality (Equality (8)) of analytic functions (actually of polynomials in q^{-s}), hence it is true for all s with $Re(s) < 1$. For $s = 0$, we get:

$$\Psi(\tilde{W},\tilde{W'},\widehat{\phi}^\theta,1)/L(\pi^\vee,\pi,1) = \int_{K_n} B_{\pi^\vee,\pi,1}(\pi^\vee(k)\tilde{W},\pi(k)\tilde{W'})\int_{F^*}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(t\eta_n(k))|t|^n d^*tdk.$$

But $B_{\pi^\vee,\pi,1}$ is a P_n-invariant linear form on $W(\pi^\vee,\theta^{-1})\times W(\pi,\theta)$, and it follows from Theorem A of [3] that it is actually G_n-invariant.
Finally

$$\Psi(\tilde{W},\tilde{W'},\widehat{\phi}^\theta,1)/L(\pi^\vee,\pi,1) = B_{\pi^\vee,\pi,1}(\tilde{W},\tilde{W'})\int_{K_n}\int_{F^*}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(t\eta_n(k))|t|^n d^*tdk$$

which is equal for a good normalisation dk, to:

$$B_{\pi^\vee,\pi,1}(\tilde{W},\tilde{W'})\int_{P_n\backslash G_n}\widehat{\phi}(\eta_n(g))d_\mu g$$

where d_μ is up to scalar the unique $|det(\ )|^{-1}$ invariant measure on $P_n\backslash G_n$. But as we have

$$\int_{P_n\backslash G_n}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(\eta_n(g))d_\mu g = \int_{F^n}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(x)dx = \phi(0),$$

we deduce from the functional equation the equality $\Psi(W,W',\phi,0)/L(\pi,\pi^\vee,0) = 0$ whenever $\phi(0) = 0$. As one can choose W, W', and ϕ vanishing at zero, such that $\Psi(W,W',\phi,s)$ is the constant function equal to 1 (see the proof of Theorem 2.7. in [15]), the factor $L(\pi,\pi',s)$ has a pole at zero, which must be exceptional. □

4.2 The Rankin-Selberg integrals

In this section, we define the Bump-Friedberg integrals attached to representations of G_n of Whittaker type, and prove their basic properties. They will be useful in next section when one needs to know how the Rankin-Selberg integrals vary when the representation is "deformed" by a parameter $u \in \mathcal{D}^t$. The notations are different from [28], here we denote by $L^{lin}(\pi, \chi, s)$ the factor denoted by $L^{lin}(\pi, \chi\delta_n^{1/2}, s)$ in [28]. The reason for this is that with this definition, the occurence of an exceptional pole at zero of $L^{lin}(\pi, s)$ is related to the occurence of linear periods for π. As we recall every definition, this should not create any confusion.

Let π be a representation of Whittaker type of G_n, we consider the following integrals.

Definition 4.2. *Let W belong to $W(\pi, \theta)$, and ϕ belong to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$, s be a complex number, and α a character of F^*. We define formally the following integrals:*

$$\Psi(W, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s) = \int_{N_n^\sigma \backslash H_n} W(h)\phi(l_n(h))\chi_\alpha(h)\chi_n(h)^{1/2}|h|^s dh$$

and

$$\Psi_{(0)}(W, \chi_\alpha, s) = \int_{N_n^\sigma \backslash P_n{}^\sigma} W(h)\chi_\alpha(h)\mu_n^{1/2}(h)|h|^{s-1/2}dh$$

$$= \int_{N_{n-1}^\sigma \backslash G_{n-1}{}^\sigma} W(h)\chi_\alpha(h)\chi_{n-1}^{1/2}(h)|h|^{s-1/2}dh,$$

Where $l_n(h(h_1, h_2))$ is the bottom row of h_2 when n is even, and the bottom row of h_1 when n is odd.

The following proposition gives a meaning to these integrals.

Proposition 4.7. *Let π be a representation of G_n of Whittaker type, and α a character of F^*. For every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $(c_{k,i_k})_{i_k}$ be the $(n-k)$-exponents of π. Let W belong to $W(\pi, \theta)$, ϕ belong to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$, and $\epsilon_k = 0$ when k is even and 1 when k is odd. If for all k in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ (resp. in $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$), we have $Re(s) > -[Re(c_{k,i_k}) + \epsilon_k(Re(\alpha) + 1/2)]/k$, the integral $\Psi(W, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ (resp. $\Psi_{(0)}(W, \chi_\alpha, s)$) converge absolutely. They are hence holomorphic on the half planes defiend by this inequality, and admit meromorphic extension to $\mathbb{C}$ as elements of $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$.*

Proof. Let B_n^σ be the standard Borel subgroup of H_n. The integrals

$$\Psi(W, \phi, \chi_n^{1/2}\chi_\alpha, s) = \int_{N_n^\sigma \backslash H_n} W(h)\phi(l_n(h))\chi_n^{1/2}(h)\chi_\alpha(h)|h|^s dh$$

will converge absolutely as soon as the integrals

$$\int_{A_n} W(a)\phi(l_n(a))\chi_n^{1/2}(a)\chi_n^{1/2}(a)\chi_\alpha(a)|a|^s\delta_{B_n^\sigma}^{-1}(a)d^*a$$

will do so for any $W \in W(\pi, \theta)$, and any $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^n)$. But, according to Proposition 2.4, and writing z as $z_1 \dots z_n$, this will be the case if the integrals of the form

$$\int_{A_n} c_\pi(t(z_n))\phi(l_n(z_n))\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{k,i_k}(z_k)|z_k|^{(n-k)/2}v(t(z_k))^{m_k}\phi_k(t(z_k))(\chi_n^{1/2}\chi_\alpha)(z)|z|^s\delta_{B_n^\sigma}^{-1}(z)d^*z$$

converge absoultely. But $\delta_{B_n^\sigma}^{-1}\chi_n^{1/2}(z_k) = |t(z_k)|^{-\frac{k(n-k)}{2}+\frac{\epsilon_k}{2}}$, and $\chi_\alpha(z_k) = \alpha(t(z_k))^{\epsilon_k}$ where $\epsilon_k = 0$ if k is even, and 1 if k is odd, hence this will be the case if

$$\int_{F^*} c_\pi(t)\phi(0, \dots, 0, t)|t|^{ns}(|t|^{1/2}\alpha(t))^{\epsilon_n/2}d^*t$$

and each integral

$$\int_{F^*} c_{k,i_k}(t)|t|^{\epsilon_k/2}v(t)^{m_k}\phi_k(t)\alpha^{\epsilon_k}(t)|t|^{ks}d^*t = \int_{F^*} c_{k,i_k}(t)|t|^{ks}(|t|^{1/2}\alpha(t))^{\epsilon_k}v(t)^{m_k}\phi_k(t)d^*t$$

converges absolutely. This will be the case if for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, one has

$$Re(s) + [Re(c_{k,i_k}) + \epsilon_k(Re(\alpha) + 1/2)]/k > 0.$$

Moreover, this also shows that $\Psi(W, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ extends to $\mathbb{C}$ as an element of $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$, by Tate's theory of local factors of characters of F^*. The case of the integrals $\Psi_{(0)}$ is similar. ☐

We will need the following corollary in the last section.

Corollary 4.1. *Let Δ be a unitary discrete series (i.e. with unitary central character), and let α be a character of F^* with $Re(\alpha) \geq -1/2$. Let W belong to $W(\pi, \theta)$, and ϕ belong to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$, the integral $\Psi(W, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ converges absolutely for $Re(s) > 0$.*

Proof. It is a consequence of Lemma 4.7 and Theorem 3.2 of [25]. ☐

This allows us to define the local L-factors. We notice that H_n acts on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$ by right translation (with $h(g_1, g_2).\phi(x) = \phi(xg_2)$ when n is even, and $h(g_1, g_2).\phi(x) = \phi(xg_1)$ when n is odd).

Proposition 4.8. *Let π be a representation of G_n of Whittaker type, and α a character of F^*. The integrals $\Psi(W, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ generate a (necessarily principal) fractional ideal $I(\pi, \chi_\alpha)$ of $\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$ when W and ϕ vary in their respective spaces, and $I(\pi, \chi_\alpha)$ has a unique generator which is an Euler factor, which we denote by $L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$.*
The integrals $\Psi_{(0)}(W, \chi_\alpha, s)$ generate a (necessarily principal) fractional ideal $I_{(0)}(\pi, \chi_\alpha)$ of $\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$ when W and ϕ vary in their respective spaces, and $I_{(0)}(\pi, \chi_\alpha)$ has a unique generator which is an Euler factor, which we denote by $L^{lin}_{(0)}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$.

Proof. In the first case, replacing W and ϕ by an appropriate translate, multiplies the integral $\Psi(W, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ by a nonzero multiple of q^{ks}, for any k in $\mathbb{Z}$, hence the integrals $\Psi(W, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ generate a fractional ideal of $\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$. Now one hase the following integration formula, for good normalisations of measures, $Re(s)$ large enough, and $\epsilon_n = 0$ when n is even, and $\epsilon_n = 1$ when is odd:

$$\Psi(W, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s) \tag{9}$$

$$= \int_{K_n^\sigma} \int_{N_n^\sigma \backslash P_n^\sigma} W(pk)\chi_\alpha(pk)\mu_n^{1/2}(p)|p|^{s-1/2}dp \int_{F^*} c_\pi(t)\alpha^{\epsilon_n}(t)\phi(tl_n(k))|t|^{ns+\epsilon_n/2}d^*tdk.$$

Now use again the argument from [15]. By Remark 2.1, we can choose W in $W(\pi, \theta)$ such that $W_{|P_n}$ is any function f in $ind_{N_n}^{P_n}(\theta)$. Let r be large enough such that W and χ_α are $K_{n,r}^\sigma$-invariant, and ϕ be the characteristic function of $l_n(K_{n,r}^\sigma)$, the integral reduces to a positive multiple of $\int_{N_n^\sigma \backslash P_n^\sigma} W(p)\chi_\alpha\mu_n^{1/2}(p)|p|^{s-1/2}dp = \int_{N_n^\sigma \backslash P_n^\sigma} f(p)\chi_\alpha\mu_n^{1/2}(p)|p|^{s-1/2}dp$. If f is the characteristic function of a sufficiently small compact open subgroup with Iwahori decomosition, this integral is a positive constant independant of s, so $I(\pi, \chi_\alpha)$ contains 1, and is thus spanned by an Euler factor. The second statement is proved similarly. ☐

When χ_α is equal to $\mathbf{1}_{H_n}$, we write $L^{lin}(\pi, s)$ rather than $L^{lin}(\pi, \mathbf{1}_{H_n}, s)$. We now introduce the notion of exceptional pole of the Euler factor L^{lin}.

Definition 4.3. *Let π be a representation of G_n of Whittaker type, and let s_0 be a pole of order d of $L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$. For W in $W(\pi, \theta)$ and ϕ in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$, we write the Laurent expansion*

$$\Psi(W, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s) = \frac{B(W, \phi, s)}{(1 - q^{s_0 - s})^d} + \textit{ terms of higher degree.}$$

We say that s_0 is an exceptional pole of $L^{lin}(\pi,s)$ if the bilinear form B vanishes on the space $W(\pi,\theta)\times\mathcal{C}_{c,0}^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$, i.e. if it is of the form $B:(W,\phi)\mapsto L(W)\phi(0)$, where L is a nonzero linear form. By definition of the integrals $\Psi(W,\phi,\chi_\alpha,s)$, the linear form L is a nonzero element of the space $Hom_{H_n}(\pi,\chi_\alpha^{-1}\chi_n^{-1/2}|.|^{-s_0})$.

Let π be a representation of Whittaker type of G_n, and $P_{ex}(\pi,\chi_\alpha)$ be the set of exceptional poles of $L^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$, and denote by $d(s_0)$ the order of an element s_0 of $P_{ex}(\pi,\chi)$. By definition, the factor $L_{ex}^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ is equal to $\prod_{s_0\in P_{ex}(\pi,\chi_\alpha)}1/(1-q^{s_0-s})^{d(s_0)}$. We now show that these poles are those of the factor $L^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)/L_{(0)}^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$.

Proposition 4.9. *Let π be a representation of Whittaker type of G_n, and let $\epsilon_n=0$ when n is even and 1 when n is odd. The ideal $I_{(0)}(\pi,\chi_\alpha)$ is spanned by the integrals $\Psi(W,\phi,\chi_\alpha,s)$, for $W\in W(\pi,\theta)$ and $\phi\in\mathcal{C}_{c,0}^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$. In particular the factor $L_{(0)}^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ divides $L^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$, we denote $L_{rad(ex)}^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ their quotient. The factor $L_{rad(ex)}^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ divides $L(c_\pi\alpha^{\epsilon_n},ns+\epsilon_n/2)$, hence its poles are simple, they are exactly the exceptional poles of $L^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$.*

Proof. According to the proof of Proposition 4.8, for a well chosen ϕ which vanishes at zero, the integral $\Psi(W,\phi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ reduces to $\Psi_{(0)}(W,\chi_\alpha,s)$. This proves that $L_{(0)}^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ divides $L^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$. Moreover, using smoothness of elements of $W(\pi,\theta)$, Equation (9) in the proof of this proposition shows that any integral $\Psi(W,\phi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ is a linear combination of products of integrals $\Psi_{(0)}(W',\chi_\alpha,s)\int_{F^*}\phi'(t)c_\pi(t)\alpha^{\epsilon_n}(t)|t|^{ns+\epsilon_n/2}d^*t$, for ϕ' in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F)$. This implies that $L^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ divides the factor $L_{(0)}^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)L(c_\pi\alpha^{\epsilon_n},ns+\epsilon_n/2)$, i.e. that $L_{rad(ex)}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ divides the factor $L(c_\pi\alpha^{\epsilon_n},ns+\epsilon_n/2)$, in particular it has simple poles. Using again Equation (9), we see that if ϕ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{c,0}^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$, the integral $\Psi(W,\phi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ is a linear combination of integrals $P'(q^{\pm s})\Psi_{(0)}(W',\chi_\alpha,s)$, for some Laurent polynomials P'. Hence the ideal $I_{(0)}(\pi,\chi_\alpha)$ is also the ideal generated by the integrals $\Psi(W,\phi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ with $W\in W(\pi,\theta)$ and $\phi\in\mathcal{C}_{c,0}^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$. ☐

Now we recall the following result, obtained from the proof of Proposition 3.1. of [26], by noticing that the construction of the injection, gives actually an isomorphism (though only the injectivity is needed for all applications in this work), and by computing the characters denoted δ and δ_1 in this proof (which are in fact given, for $h\in P_{n-1}^\sigma$, by $\delta(h)=|h|^2$ and $\delta_1(h(g_1,g_2))=|g_1|$ when n is even, and $\delta_1(h(g_1,g_2))=|g_2|$ when n is odd).

Proposition 4.10. *Let ρ be an irreducible representation of P_n, and μ be a character of P_n^σ. If n is even, one has $Hom_{P_n^\sigma}(\Phi^+(\rho),\mu)\simeq Hom_{P_{n-1}^\sigma}(\rho,\mu\delta_n^{1/2})$. If n is odd, one has $Hom_{P_n^\sigma}(\Phi^+(\rho),\mu)\simeq Hom_{P_{n-1}^\sigma}(\rho,\mu\delta_n^{-1/2})$.*

We will use the following lemma to establish the functional equation, and also in the following section.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\pi=\Delta_1\times\cdots\times\Delta_t$ be a representation of G_n of Whittaker type, and α a character of F^*. The spaces*

$$Hom_{H_n}(\pi\otimes\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]}),\chi_\alpha^{-1}\chi_n^{-1/2}|.|^{-s})$$

and

$$Hom_{P_n^\sigma}(\pi,|.|^{-s+1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}\mu_n^{-1/2})$$

are of dimension 1, except possibly when there is $k\in\{1,\dots,n-1\}$, and and a $n-k$-exponent c_{k,i_k}, such that $c_{k,i_k}(\varpi)=q^{ks+\epsilon_k/2}\alpha(\varpi)^{-\epsilon_k}$ with $\epsilon_k=0$ when k is even, and $\epsilon_k=1$ when k is odd.

Proof. The space $Hom_{H_n}(\pi,|.|^{-s}\chi_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1})$ is zero except maybe when

$$c_\pi(\varpi)=q^{ns+\epsilon_n/2}\alpha^{-\epsilon_n}(\varpi),$$

hence the space $Hom_{H_n}(\pi \otimes \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]}), \chi_\alpha^{-1}\chi_n^{-1/2}|.|^{-s})$ is equal to

$$Hom_{H_n}(\pi \otimes \mathcal{C}_{c,0}^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]}), \chi_\alpha^{-1}\chi_n^{-1/2}|.|^{-s})$$

except for those values. But

$$Hom_{H_n}(\pi \otimes \mathcal{C}_{c,0}^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]}), \chi_\alpha^{-1}\chi_n^{-1/2}|.|^{-s}) \simeq Hom_{H_n}(\pi \otimes ind_{P_n^\sigma}^{H_n}(1), \chi_\alpha^{-1}\chi_n^{-1/2}|.|^{-s})$$

$$\simeq Hom_{H_n}(\pi, Ind_{P_n^\sigma}^{H_n}(\mu_n^{-1/2}|.|^{-s+1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1})) \simeq Hom_{P_n^\sigma}(\pi, |.|^{-s+1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}\mu_n^{-1/2}),$$

the first isomorhism identifying $P_n^\sigma \backslash H_n$ with $F^{[(n+1)/2]} - \{0\}$, and the last by Frobenius reciprocity law. Now, for k between 0 and $n-1$, and $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i = k$, we have, according to Proposition 4.10:

$$Hom_{P_n^\sigma}((\Phi^+)^{n-k-1}\Psi^+(\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \cdots \times \Delta_t^{(a_t)}), |.|^{-s+1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}\mu_n^{-1/2})$$

$$\simeq Hom_{P_{k+1}^\sigma}(\Psi^+(\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \cdots \times \Delta_t^{(a_t)}), |.|^{-s+1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}\mu_{k+1}^{-1/2})$$

$$\simeq Hom_{G_k^\sigma}(\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \cdots \times \Delta_t^{(a_t)}, |.|^{-s}\chi_\alpha^{-1}\chi_k^{-1/2}).$$

This ensures us that, for $k \geq 1$, if the central character c of $\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \cdots \times \Delta_t^{(a_t)}$ does not satisfy the relation $c(\varpi) = q^{ks+\epsilon_k/2}\alpha(\varpi)^{-\epsilon_k}$ for $\epsilon_k = 0$ when k is even, and $\epsilon_k = 1$ when k is odd, this last space is reduced to zero. Finally, if $k = 0$, this space is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. Now the lemma is a consequence of Proposition 2.3. $\square$

The preceding proposition has the following consequence.

Proposition 4.11. *Let π be a representation of Whittaker type of G_n, α a character of F^*, let W belong to $W(\pi, \theta)$, and ϕ belong to $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$, then one has*

$$\Psi(\widetilde{W}, \chi_\alpha^{-1}\delta_n^{-1/2}, \widehat{\phi}^\theta, 1/2 - s)/L^{lin}(\tilde{\pi}, \chi_\alpha^{-1}\delta_n^{-1/2}, 1/2 - s)$$

$$= \epsilon^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)\Psi(W, \chi_\alpha, \phi, s)/L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$$

for $\epsilon^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)$ a unit of $\mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]$.

We will denote by $\gamma^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)$ the element of $\mathbb{C}(q^{\pm s})$ given by

$$\gamma^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s) = \frac{\epsilon^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)L^{lin}(\widetilde{\pi}, \chi_\alpha^{-1}\delta_n^{-1/2}, 1/2 - s)}{L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)}.$$

When π is a unitary generic representation of G_n, for n even, we will prove that exceptional poles of $L^{lin}(\pi, s)$ at zero characterise distinction. More generally, this property is true under the following condition, which is verified by distinguished unitary generic representations, and should be true without the unitary assumption.

Proposition 4.12. *Suppose that π is a generic representation of G_n, for $n = 2m$ even, which is (H_n, χ_α^{-1})-distinguished, and suppose that*

$$Hom_{P_n^\sigma}(\pi^\vee, \chi_\alpha) = Hom_{G_n^\sigma}(\pi^\vee, \chi_\alpha)$$

(which is the case if $Hom_{P_n^\sigma}(\pi^\vee, \chi_\alpha)$ is of dimension ≤ 1). Then the factor $L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ has an exceptional pole at zero.

Proof. From Equation (9), for $Re(s) << 0$, as π has necessarily a trivial central character, one has:

$$\Psi(\widetilde{W}, \widehat{\phi}^\theta, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, 1/2 - s) =$$

$$\int_{K_n}\int_{N_n\backslash P_n}\widetilde{W}(pk)\chi_\alpha^{-1}(pk)|det(p)|^{-s}dp\int_{F^*}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(tl_n(k))|t|^{n(1/2-s)}d^*tdk. \tag{10}$$

Now we notice that $\Lambda_s : \widetilde{W} \mapsto \Psi_{(0)}(\widetilde{W}, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, 1/2-s)/L^{lin}(\pi^\vee, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, 1/2-s)$ is an element of $Hom_{P_n}(W(\pi^\vee, \theta^{-1}), \chi_\alpha|.|^s)$. We can write:

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi(\widetilde{W}, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, 1/2-s)/L^{lin}(\pi^\vee, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, 1/2-s)\\ &= \int_{K_n}\Lambda_s(\pi^\vee(k)\widetilde{W})\int_{F^*}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(tl_n(k))|t|^{n(1/2-s)}d^*tdk.\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The second member of the equality is actually a finite sum:

$$\sum_i \lambda_i\Lambda_s(\pi^\vee(k_i)\widetilde{W}))\int_{F^*}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(tl_n(k_i))|t|^{n(1/2-s)}d^*t,$$

where the λ_i's are positive constants and the k_i's are elements of K_n independent of s.
Notice that for $Re(s) < 1/2$, the integral

$$\int_{F^*}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(tl_n(k_i))|t|^{n(1/2-s)}d^*t$$

is absolutely convergent, and defines a holomorphic function. So we have an equality (Equality (11)) of analytic functions (actually of polynomials in q^{-s}), hence it is true for all s with $Re(s) < 1/2$. For $s = 0$, writing m for $n/2$, we get:

$$\Psi(\widetilde{W}, \widehat{\phi}^\theta, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, 1/2)/L(\pi^\vee, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, 1/2) = \int_{K_n}\Lambda_0(\pi^\vee(k)\widetilde{W})\int_{F^*}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(tl_n(k))|t|^m d^*tdk.$$

But Λ_0 is a $(P_n^\sigma, \chi_\alpha)$-invariant linear form on $W(\pi^\vee, \theta^{-1})$, so it follows by hypothesis that it is actually (H_n, χ_α)-invariant.
Finally

$$\Psi(\widetilde{W}, \widehat{\phi}^\theta, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, 1/2)/L(\pi^\vee, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, 1/2) = \Lambda_0(\widetilde{W})\int_{K_n}\int_{F^*}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(tl_n(k))|t|^m d^*tdk$$

which is equal for a good normalisation dk, to:

$$\Lambda_0(\widetilde{W})\int_{P_n^\sigma\backslash H_n}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(l_n(h))d_\mu h$$

where d_μ is up to scalar the unique $|det(\ )|^{-1}$ invariant measure on $P_n^\sigma\backslash H_n$. But as we have

$$\int_{P_n^\sigma\backslash H_n}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(\eta_n(h))d_\mu h = \int_{F^m}\widehat{\phi}^\theta(x)dx = \phi(0),$$

we deduce from the functional equation that $\Psi(W, \phi, \chi_\alpha, 0)/L(\pi, \chi_\alpha, 0) = 0$ whenever $\phi(0)$ is equal to 0.
As one can choose W, and ϕ vanishing at zero, such that $\Psi(W, \phi, \chi_\alpha, 0)$ is the constant function equal to 1 (see the proof of Proposition 4.8), the factor $L(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ has a pole at zero, which must be exceptional. □

Corollary 4.2. *If n is even, π is unitary, and α is a character of F^*, then π is (H_n, χ_α^{-1})-distinguished if and only if $L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ has an exceptional pole at zero.*

Proof. As π is (H_n, χ_α^{-1})-distinguished if and only if π is (H_n, χ_α)-distinguished according to Lemma 3.2, we can suppose that $Re(\alpha)$ is ≥ 0. According to the criterion 7.4 of [3], the $(n-k)$-exponents of π are of real part $> -k/2$, except the central character of the highest derivative $\pi^{(n)} = \mathbf{1}$. Let ρ be a representation of G_k with central character of real part $> -k/2$, we have

$$Hom_{P_n^\sigma}((\Phi^+)^{n-k-1}\Psi^+(\rho), \chi_\alpha^{-1})$$

$$\simeq Hom_{P_{k+1}^\sigma}(\Psi^+(\rho), \chi_\alpha^{-1}\chi_{k+1}^{1/2}) \simeq Hom_{G_k^\sigma}(|.|^{1/2}\rho, \chi_\alpha^{-1}\mu_k^{1/2}).$$

This last space is reduced to zero as soon as $Re(\alpha) \geq 0$. Proposition 2.2 implies that in this case, the space $Hom_{P_n^\sigma}(\pi, \chi_\alpha^{-1})$ is of dimension ≤ 1, and we can apply Proposition 4.12. ☐

As we know that if a discrete series representation of G_n is χ_α-distinguished, the it is unitary and n is even, we botain the following.

Corollary 4.3. *Let Δ be a discrete series of G_n, and α be a character of F^*, then π is (H_n, χ_α^{-1})-distinguished if and only if $L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ has an exceptional pole at zero.*

Now we give a consequence of Theorem 3.1 in terms of L-functions.

Proposition 4.13. *Let $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \dots \Delta_t$ be a generic representation of G_n, with $t \geq 2$, and α a character of F^* with $Re(\alpha) \in [-1/2, 0]$ such that the factor $L_{rad(ex)}^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ has a pole at s_0. Then we are in one of the following situations:*

1. *There are $(i,j) \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, with $i \neq j$, such that the factors $L_{rad(ex)}^{lin}(\Delta_i, \chi_\alpha, s)$ and $L_{rad(ex)}^{lin}(\Delta_j, \chi_\alpha, s)$ have s_0 as a common pole.*
2. *There are $(i,j,k,l) \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, with $\{i,j\} \neq \{k,l\}$,such that $L_{rad(ex)}(\Delta_i, \Delta_j, 2s)$ and $L_{rad(ex)}(\Delta_k, \Delta_l, 2s)$ have s_0 as a common pole.*
3. *There are $(i,j,k) \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, and $i \neq j$, such that the factors $L_{rad(ex)}(\Delta_i, \Delta_j, 2s)$ and $L_{rad(ex)}^{lin}(\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, s)$ have s_0 as a common pole.*
4. *There are $(i,j) \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, and $i \neq j$, then $L_{rad(ex)}^{lin}(\Delta_i, \chi_\alpha, s)$ and $L(\alpha \otimes \Delta_j, s + 1/2)$ have s_0 as a common pole.*
5. *There are $(i,j,k) \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, and $i \neq j$, the two factors $L_{rad(ex)}(\Delta_i, \Delta_j, 2s)$ and $L(\alpha \otimes \Delta_k, s + 1/2)$ have s_0 as a common pole.*
6. *The integer t equals 2, and the factor $L_{rad(ex)}(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, 2s)$ has a pole at s_0.*

Before ending this section with a factorisation of $L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ in terms of the exceptional factors of the derivatives of π, we state as a lemma the following integration formula, which will be used in the next proposition.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $n \geq k$ be positive integers, let $B_{n,k}^{-,\sigma}$ be the subgroup of B_{n-k}^-, of elements b such that the matrix $diag(I_k, b)$ belongs to H_n, let $\mathcal{M}_{n-k,k}^\sigma$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{M}(n,k,F)$ of elements m such that $\begin{pmatrix} I_k & \\ m & I_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}$ belongs to H_n. Let f be a positive measurable (with respect to the up to scaling unique right invariant measure) function on $N_n \backslash G_n$, then, for good normalisations of (right invariant) Haar measures, one has:*

$$\int_{N_n^\sigma \backslash H_n} f(h) dh = \int_{B_{n,k}^{-,\sigma}} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{n-k,k}^\sigma} \int_{N_k^\sigma \backslash H_k} f \begin{pmatrix} h & \\ m & b \end{pmatrix} |h|^{(k-n)/2} \chi_k^{1/2}(h) \chi_n^{-1/2}(h) dm dh db$$

We have the analogue of Proposition 4.4 for the factor L^{lin}.

Proposition 4.14. *Let* $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_t$ *be a generic representation, and* α *be a character of* F^*. *If* π *has only completely reducible derivatives, with irreducible components of the form* $\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \cdots \times \Delta_t^{(a_t)}$. *For each* k *between* 0 *and* n, *we write* $\pi^{(k)} = \oplus_{i_k} \pi_{i_k}^{(n-k)}$, *where, the* G_k-*modules* $\pi_{i_k}^{(n-k)}$ *are the irreducible components of* $\pi^{(n-k)}$, *then one has:*

$$L_{(0)}^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s) = \vee_{i_k, k \leq n-1} L_{ex}^{lin}(\pi_{i_k}^{(n-k)}, \chi_\alpha, s),$$

hence

$$L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s) = \vee_{i_k, k \leq n} L_{ex}^{lin}(\pi_{i_k}^{(n-k)}, \chi_\alpha, s).$$

Proof. Let's first deduce the second equality from the first one. One must show that

$$\vee_{i_k, k \leq n} L_{ex}^{lin}(\pi_{i_k}^{(n-k)}, \chi_\alpha, s) = L_{rad(ex)}^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s) L_{(0)}^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s),$$

assuming

$$L_{(0)}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s) = \vee_{i_k, k \leq n-1} L_{ex}^{lin}(\pi_{i_k}^{(n-k)}, \chi_\alpha, s).$$

Hence we want to prove $L_{rad(ex)}^{lin}(\pi, s) L_{(0)}^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s) = L_{ex}^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s) \vee L_{(0)}^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$, or equivalently

$$L_{rad(ex)}^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s) = \frac{L_{ex}^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s) \vee L_{(0)}^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)}{L_{(0)}^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)}.$$

Both sides have simple poles according to Proposition 4.9, and they obviously have the same poles according to the same proposition, but as they are Euler factors, they are equal.
Now we prove

$$L_{(0)}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s) = \vee_{i_k, k \leq n-1} L_{ex}^{lin}(\pi_{i_k}^{(n-k)}, \chi_\alpha, s). \tag{12}$$

Let s_0 be a pole of order d of the factor $L_{ex}^{lin}(\pi_{i_k}^{(n-k)}, s)$, it certainly occurs as a pole of arder d of an integral $\Psi(W', \phi', \chi_\alpha, s)$, for W' in $W(\pi_{i_k}^{(n-k)}, \theta)$ and ϕ' in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(k+1)/2]})$ which does not vanish at zero. In fact we can choose ϕ' such that $\phi'(0) = 1$, and we can even replace ϕ' by the characteristic function ϕ'' of a neighbourhood of zero small enough, because s_0 occurs as a pole of order $< d$ of $\Psi(W', \phi' - \phi'', \chi_\alpha, s)$. We notice that the support of ϕ'' can be taken as small as needed. Then, according to Proposition 2.5, there is W in $W(\pi, \theta)_k \subset W(\pi, \theta)$ such that

$$\Psi(W', \phi'', \chi_\alpha, s) = \int_{N_k^\sigma \backslash H_k} W(diag(h, I_{n-k})) \phi''(l_k(h)) \chi_\alpha(h) \chi_k(h) |h|^{s+(k-n)/2} dh.$$

Suppose that s_0 is a pole of order at least d of the integral

$$\Psi_{(n-k-1)}(W, \chi_\alpha, s) = \int_{N_k^\sigma \backslash H_k} W(diag(h, I_{n-k})) \chi_\alpha(h) \chi_k(h) |h|^{s+(k-n)/2} dh.$$

This integral is equal to $\Psi_{(0)}(W_1, \chi_\alpha, s)$ for some W_1 in $W(\pi, \theta)$, as a consequence of Lemma 9.2. of [15] and Lemma 4.2, hence s_0 is a pole of order at least d of $L_{(0)}^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$. Otherwise it is a pole of order at least d of

$$\int_{N_k^\sigma \backslash H_k} W(diag(h, I_{n-k}))(1 - \phi''(l_k(h))) \chi_\alpha(h) \chi_k(h) |h|^{s+(k-n)/2} dh.$$

But as the map $1 - \phi''$ vanishes at zero, this implies, thanks to the Iwasawa decomposition $G_k = P_k Z_k K_k$, as $W(diag(pzk, I_{n-k}))$ vanishes for $|z| >> 0$, that this integral is a $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$-combination of integrals of the form

$$\int_{N_{k-1}^\sigma \backslash H_{k-1}} W'(diag(hk_0, I_{n-k})) \chi_\alpha(h) \chi_{k-1}(h) |h|^{s+(k-n-1)/2} dh$$

$$= \int_{N_{k-1}^\sigma\backslash H_{k-1}} W''(diag(h,I_{n+1-k}))\chi_\alpha(h)\chi_{k-1}(h)|h|^{s+(k-n-1)/2}dh.$$

for some k_0 in K_k (see Equation (9)), and $W''=\rho(diag(k_0,I_{n-k}))W'$. Again, by Lemma 9.2. of [15] and Lemma 4.2, this integral is of the form $\Psi_{(0)}(W_2,\chi_\alpha,s)$ for some W_2 in $W(\pi,\theta)$. This proves that the right hand side divides the left hand side in equality (12).
It remains to show that any pole of order d of $L_{(0)}^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$, occurs as a pole of order d of some factor $L_{ex}^{lin}(\pi_{i_k}^{(n-k)},\chi_\alpha,s)$, for some k and i_k. We show this by induction on n. Let s_0 be a pole of order d of $L_{(0)}^{lin}(\pi,s)$, it is a pole of order d in an integral

$$\Psi_{(0)}(W,\chi,s) = \int_{N_{n-1}^\sigma\backslash H_{n-1}} W(diag(h,1))\chi_\alpha(h)\chi_{n-1}(h)|h|^{s-1/2}dh$$

for some W in $W(\pi,\theta)$. Let k be the smallest integer such that s_0 is a pole of order at least d of an integral of the form

$$\Psi_{(n-k-1)}(W,\chi_\alpha,s) = \int_{N_k^\sigma\backslash H_k} W(diag(h,I_{n-k}))\chi_\alpha(h)\chi_k(h)|h|^{s-(n-k)/2}dh$$

for some W in $W(\pi,\theta)$. Then for any ϕ in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(k+1)/2]})$ whith $\phi(0)=1$, and W in $W(\pi,\theta)$, the order of s_0 in

$$\int_{N_k^\sigma\backslash H_k} W(diag(h,I_{n-k}))(1-\phi(l_k(h)))\chi_\alpha(h)\chi_k(h)|h|^{s-(n-k)/2}dh$$

is $< d$, because we already saw that one could express such an integral as a $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$-combination of integrals of the form $\Psi_{(n-k-2)}(W',\chi_\alpha,s)$. Hence let W be such that s_0 is a pole of order $\geq d$ of $\Psi_{(n-k-1)}(W,\chi_\alpha,s)$, it is thus a pole of order $\geq d$ of the integral

$$\int_{N_k^\sigma\backslash H_k} W(diag(h,I_{n-k}))\phi(l_k(h))\chi_\alpha(h)\chi_k(h)|h|^{s-(n-k)/2}dh$$

for nay ϕ with $\phi(0)=1$. Write $\pi^{(n-k)}=\pi_1^{(n-k)}\oplus\cdots\oplus\pi_l^{(n-k)}$ a decomposition of $\pi^{(n-k)}$ into a sum of simple factors, then W can be written as $W_1+\cdots+W_t$, with each W_i projecting on some W_i' in $W(\pi_i^{(n-k)},\theta)$ via the surjection $\pi\to\pi^{(n-k)}$. There must be i such that s_0 is a pole of order at least d of $\Psi_{(n-k-1)}(W_i,\chi_\alpha,s)$, hence a pole of order at least d of

$$\int_{N_k^\sigma\backslash H_k} W_i(diag(h,I_{n-k}))\phi(l_k(h))\chi_\alpha(h)\chi_k(h)|h|^{s-(n-k)/2}dh$$

for any ϕ with $\phi(0)=1$. Taking ϕ the characteristic function of a small enough neighbourhood of zero, and applying Proposition 2.5, the integral

$$\int_{N_k^\sigma\backslash H_k} W_i(diag(h,I_{n-k}))\phi(l_k(h))\chi_\alpha(h)\chi_k(h)|h|^{s-(n-k)/2}dh$$

is equal to $\Psi(W_i',\phi,\chi_\alpha,s)$, hence $\Psi(W_i',\phi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ has a pole of order at least d at s_0, and $L(\pi_i^{(n-k)},\chi_\alpha,s)$ as well. If s_0 is exceptional, we are done, otherwise it is a pole of the factor $L_{(0)}(\pi_i^{(n-k)},\chi_\alpha,s)$, and we conclude by induction, as the irreducible factors of the derivatives of $\pi_i^{(n-k)}$ appear amongst those of the derivatives of π. □

4.3 Rationality of the Rankin-Selberg integrals under deformation

We fix a representation $\pi=\Delta_1\times\cdots\times\Delta_t$ of Whittaker type of G_n, and α a character of F^*. If $u=(u_1,\ldots,u_t)$ is an element of $\mathcal{D}^t$, we recall that π_u is the representation

$$\pi_u = |.|^{u_1}\Delta_1\times\cdots\times|.|^{u_1}\Delta_t.$$

We recall from section 3 of [10], that to any f in the space V_π of π, and any u in $\mathcal{D}^t$, one can associate an element $W_{f,u} = W_{f_u}$ (i.e. which depends on f_u, see Section 2.3) in $W(\pi_u, \theta)$, such that if g belongs to G_n, then $u \mapsto W_{f,u}(g)$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm u}]$. Moreover, the map $f_u \mapsto W_{f,u}$ is a G_n-equivariant linear map, which is an isomorphism between V_{π_u} and $W(\pi_u, \theta)$ whenever and π_u is of Langlands' type. We define $W_\pi^{(0)}$ the complex vector space generated by the functions $(u, g) \mapsto W_{f_u}(gg')$ for $g' \in G_n$ and $W \in W(\pi, \theta)$. It is shown in [10] that the action of the group G_n on $W_\pi^{(0)}$ by right translation is a smooth representation, and we denote by $W_{\pi,(0)}$ the space of restrictions of functions of $W_\pi^{(0)}$ to P_n. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_0$ the vector subspace of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm u}]$ consisting of all Laurent polynomials of the form $u \mapsto W(u, I_n)$ for some $W \in W_{\pi,(0)}$. We recall Proposition 3.1 of [10].

Proposition 4.15. *Let π be a representation of Whittaker type of G_n, the complex vector space $W_{\pi,(0)}$ defined above contains the space $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(N_n \backslash P_n, \mathcal{P}_0, \theta)$.*

We are going to show that the integrals $\Psi(W_{f,u}, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ are rational functions in q_F^{-u} and q_F^{-s}. We first state a corollary of the preceding proposition, which will allow us to get a unique solution of some linear system in the proof of the next theorem.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $(f_\beta)_{\beta \in B}$ be a basis of V_π indexed by a countable set B. Let P_0 be a nonzero element of $\mathcal{P}_0$, then there is a map ϕ_0 in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$, a finite subset F_B of B, and there are g_β's in G_n, such that*

$$\Psi\Big(\sum_{\beta \in F_B} \pi_u(g_\beta) W_{f_\beta,u}, \phi_0, \chi_\alpha, s\Big) = P_0(q^{\pm u}).$$

Proof. Let W belong to $W_\pi^{(0)}$, such that the restriction of W to $P_n \times \mathcal{D}^t$ is given by $f(p)P_0(q^{\pm u})$, for f in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(N_n \backslash P_n, \theta)$ the characteristic function of a sufficiently small subgroup of G_n with Iwahori decomposition; this is possible according to Proposition 4.15. Now choose ϕ_0 the characteristic function of $l_n(K_{n,r}^\sigma)$ for r large enough, when we apply Equation (9) to $\Psi(W_u, \phi_0, \chi_\alpha, s)$ for $W_u : g \mapsto W(u, g)$, we deduce that $\Psi(W_u, \phi_0, \chi_\alpha, s)$ is equal to $P_0(q^{\pm u})$, up to multiplying ϕ_0 by a positive real. Finally, by definition of $W_\pi^{(0)}$, there is a finite subset F_B of B, and there are g_β's in G_n, such that $W(u, g) = \sum_{\beta \in F_A} \pi_u(g_\beta) W_{f_\beta,u}(g)$ for all u and g, and this concludes the proof. □

As often in this paper, we follow [10], and apply Theorem 2.1 to prove the rationality of the Rankin-Selberg integrals with respect to u.

Theorem 4.1. *Let f belong to the space of a representation π of Whittaker type of G_n, ϕ belong to $C_c^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$, and α be a character of F^*. The integral $\Psi(W_{f,u}, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ is a rational function in q^{-u} and q^{-s}.*

Proof. We use notations of Section 2.3. Let V be the vector space $\mathcal{F}_\pi \otimes \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$, let $f_{\beta,c}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{F}_\pi$, corresponding to the basis f_β of V_π, and let $(\phi_\gamma)_{\gamma \in C}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$. We choose F_B, the g_β's, P_0 and ϕ_0 as in Lemma 4.4. We denote by d a general element (u, s) in $\mathcal{D}^{t+1}$. For a fixed $d = (u, s)$ in $\mathcal{D}^{t+1}$, we define the systems

$$\Xi'_d = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\pi_u(h)\pi_u(g_i) f_{\beta,c} \otimes \rho(h)\phi_\gamma - \chi_\alpha^{-1}(h)\chi_n^{-1}(h)|h|^{-s}\pi_u(g_i) f_{\beta,c} \otimes \phi_\gamma, 0), \\ \beta \in B, \gamma \in C, h \in H_n, g_i \in G_n \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$E_d = \left\{ \Big(\sum_{\beta \in F_B} \pi_u(g_\beta) f_{\beta,c} \otimes \phi_0, P_0(q^{\pm u})\Big) \right\}$$

of elements of $V \times \mathbb{C}$. We denote by Ξ_d the union of those two systems.
Now, thanks to Lemma 4.7, there are linear affine forms $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ on $\mathcal{D}^t$, such that when (u, s) satisfies $Re(s) > Re(L_i(u))$, the factor $\Psi(W_{f,u}, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ is defined by an absolutely convergent

integral, for all f in V_π, and all ϕ in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(n+1)/2]})$.
Moreover, thanks to Lemma 4.1, there are affine linear forms $L'_1, \dots, L'_{r'}$ on $\mathcal{D}^t$, such that outside the finite number of hyperplanes $H_1 = \{q^s = q^{L_1(u)}\}, \dots, H_{r'} = \{q^s = q^{L_{r'}(u)}\}$ of $\mathcal{D}^{t+1}$, the space of solutions of the system Ξ'_d is of dimension 1.
This implies, that on the intersection Ω of the half-spaces $Re(s) > Re(L_i(u))$, and of the complementary of the H_j's in $\mathcal{D}^{t+1}$, the system Ξ_d has a unique solution which we denote by $J_d : f_u \otimes \phi \mapsto \Psi(W_{f,u}, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s)$. We can now apply Bernstein's Theorem (Theorem 2.1), which says that there is a unique solution $I \in V^*_{\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{D}^{t+1})}$ of Ξ, and that $I(d) = J_d$ on Ω. Put in another way, for (u, s) in Ω, we have

$$I(u,s)(W_{f,u}, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s) = \Psi(W_{f,u}, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s),$$

and the left side belongs to $\mathbb{C}(q^{\pm u}, q^{\pm s})$. Now fix a u, then for $Re(s)$ greater than the real $Max_{i,j}\{Re(L_i(u)), Re(L'_j(u))\}$, we have $I(u,s)(W_{f,u}, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s) = \Psi(W_{f,u}, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s)$, and both are rational functions q^{-s}, hence they are equal this open set of $\mathcal{D}$, hence on $\mathcal{D}$. We conclude that $\Psi(W_{f,u}, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s) = I(u,s)(W_{f,u}, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ on $\mathcal{D}^{t+1}$, and this concludes the proof. ☐

Thanks to the functional equation (Proposition 4.11), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5. *Let π be a representation of G_n of Whittaker type, and α be a character of F^*, then the factor $\gamma(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{D}^{t+1})$.*

5 The equality of the Rankin-Selberg factor and the Galois factor

5.1 The inductivity relation for representations in general position

In this section, we show that if $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_t$ is a representation of G_n of Whittaker type, α is a character of F^* with $Re(\alpha) \in [-1/2, 0]$, and for u in $\mathcal{D}^t$ in general position with respect to (π, χ_α) (see Definition 5.1), one has the relation

$$L^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, s) = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le t} L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, 2s) \prod_{k=1}^{t} L^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, s).$$

We now fix $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_t$ a representation of Whittaker type of G_n, with $t \ge 2$, for the rest of this section. We recall that for each i, the discrete series is a segment $[\rho_i, \dots, |.|^{l_i - 1}\rho_i]$, with ρ_i a cuspidal representation of G_{r_i} and $\sum_{i=1} l_i r_i = n$.

Definition 5.1. *Let $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \dots \Delta_t$ be a representation of Whittaker type of G_n, we say the $u = (u_1, \dots, u_t) \in \mathcal{D}^t$ is in general position with respect to (π, χ_α), if:*

1. *For every sequence of non negative integers $(a_1, \dots, a_t)$ such that the representation*

   $$|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \dots \times |.|^{u_1}\Delta_t^{(a_t)}$$

   is nonzero, this representation is irreducible.

2. *If $(a_1, \dots, a_t)$ and $(b_1, \dots, b_t)$ are two different sequences of positive integers, such that $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i = \sum_{i=1}^t b_i$, and such that the representations $|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \dots \times |.|^{u_t}\Delta_t^{(a_t)}$ and $|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \dots \times |.|^{u_t}\Delta_t^{(a_t)}$ are non zero, then these two representations have distinct central characters.*

3. *If $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, and $i \neq j$, then $L^{lin}(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, \chi_\alpha, s)$ and $L^{lin}(|.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, \chi_\alpha, s)$ have no common poles.*

4. *If $(i,j,k,l) \in \{1,\dots,t\}$, and $\{i,j\} \neq \{k,l\}$, then the factors $L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, 2s)$ and $L(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, |.|^{u_l}\Delta_l, 2s)$ have no common poles.*
5. *If $(i,j,k) \in \{1,\dots,t\}$, and $i \neq j$, the two Euler factors $L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, 2s)$ and $L^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, s)$ have no common poles.*
6. *If $(i,j) \in \{1,\dots,t\}$, and $i \neq j$, then $L^{lin}(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, \chi_\alpha, s)$ and $L(\alpha \otimes \Delta_j|.|^{u_j}, s+1/2)$ have no common poles.*
7. *If $(i,j,k) \in \{1,\dots,t\}$, and $i \neq j$, then the Euler factors $L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, 2s)$ and $L(\alpha \otimes \Delta_k|.|^{u_k}, s+1/2)$ have no common poles.*
8. *If $t = 2$, and Δ_2 is equal to $|.|^e\Delta_1^\vee$ for some complex number e, then the space*
$$Hom_{P_n^\sigma}(|.|^{(u_1-u_2-e)/2}\Delta_1 \times |.|^{(u_2-u_1+e)/2}\Delta_1^\vee, \chi_\alpha^{-1})$$
is of dimension at most 1.

We now check that outside a finite numbers of hyperplanes in u, the representation π_u is in general position.

Proposition 5.1. *Let π be as above, the elements u in $\mathcal{D}^t$ that are not in general position in with respect to (π, χ_α) belong to a finite number of affine hyperplanes.*

Proof. If the first condition is not satisfied. If $|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \dots \times |.|^{u_1}\Delta_t^{(a_t)}$ is nonzero, then $\sum_{i=1}^t a_i \leq n$, hence there are a finite number of non negative sequences $(a_1,\dots,a_t)$ such that $|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \dots \times |.|^{u_1}\Delta_t^{(a_t)}$ is nonzero. If $|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \dots \times |.|^{u_1}\Delta_t^{(a_t)}$ is reducible, this means that $|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i^{(a_i)}$ is linked to $|.|^{u_j}\Delta_j^{(a_j)}$ for some $i < j$. Hence there are two cuspidal representations ρ_i and ρ_j, and two integers $k \in \{-l_i,\dots,l_i\}$ and $l \in \{-l_j,\dots,l_j\}$ such that $|.|^{u_i+k}\rho_i = |.|^{u_j+l}\rho_j$, hence u belongs to the proper hyperplane $q^{-r(u_i-u_j+k-l)} = c_{\rho_i}^{-1}c_{\rho_j}(\varpi)$, where $r = r_i = r_j$. Hence u belongs to a finite numbers of hyperplanes if 1. is not satisfied.
If the second condition is not satisfied, the central characters of $|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \dots \times |.|^{u_1}\Delta_t^{(a_t)}$ and $|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(b_1)} \times \dots \times |.|^{u_1}\Delta_t^{(b_t)}$ are equal for two different sequences of nonnegative integers (a_i) and (b_i) such that $\sum_i a_i = \sum_i b_i$ such that these representations are nonzero. Again the sequences (a_i) and (b_i) belong to the finite set of sequences of non negative integers satisfying $\sum_i a_i \leq n$. Let i be an element such that $a_i \neq b_i$, for example $a_i < b_i$, the equality of the central characters at ϖ gives the relation: $q^{(b-a_i)u_i}\lambda = b(u_1,\dots,u_{i-1},u_{i+1},\dots,u_t)$, for $\lambda = c_{\Delta_i^{(b_i)}}(c_{\Delta_i^{(a_i)}})^{-1}(\varpi)$, and $b(u_1,\dots,u_{i-1},u_{i+1},\dots,u_t) = \prod_{j\neq i} c_{|.|^{u_j}\Delta_j^{(a_j)}} c^{-1}_{|.|^{u_j}\Delta_j^{(b_j)}}(\varpi)$. Hence u belongs to a finite numbers of hyperplanes if 2. is not satisfied.
If condition 3. is not satisfied, then $L^{lin}(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, \chi_\alpha, s)$ and $L^{lin}(|.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, \chi_\alpha, s)$ have a common pole for some $i \neq j$. This implies that $L^{lin}_{ex}(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i^{(a_i)}, \chi_\alpha, s)$ and $L^{lin}_{ex}(|.|^{u_j}\Delta_j^{(b_i)}, \chi_\alpha, s)$ have a common pole s_0 for some non negative a_i and b_j according to Proposition 4.14. Thus $|.|^{u_j}\Delta_j^{(b_i)}$ and $|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i^{(a_i)}$ are $(H_n, \chi_\alpha^{-1}|.|^{-s_0})$-distinguished according to Proposition 4.9. But if $m_i \leq n$ and $m_j \leq n$ are the positive integers such that $|.|^{u_j}\Delta_j^{(b_j)}$ and $|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i^{(a_i)}$ are respectively representations of G_{m_i} and G_{m_j} (which must be even as they correspond to distinguished discrete series), then this gives the equation $q^{m_im_j(u_j-u_i)}c^{m_i}_{\Delta_j^{(b_j)}}c^{-m_j}_{\Delta_i^{(a_i)}}(\varpi) = 1$ (as χ_α restricted to Z_{m_i} and Z_{m_j} is trivial). Hence u belongs to a finite numbers of hyperplanes if 3. is not satisfied.
If condition 4. is not satisfied, the factors $L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, 2s)$ and $L(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, |.|^{u_l}\Delta_l, 2s)$ have a common pole for some $\{i,j\} \neq \{k,l\}$. According to Proposition 4.4, this implies that $L_{ex}(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i^{(a_i)}, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j^{(a_j)}, 2s)$ and $L_{ex}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k^{(a_k)}, |.|^{u_l}\Delta_l^{(a_l)}, 2s)$ have a common pole s_0 for some positive integers a_i, a_j, a_k and a_l, which in turn implies $\Delta_j^{(a_j)} = |.|^{u_i-u_j-2s_0}(\Delta_i^{(a_i)})^\vee$ and $\Delta_l^{(a_l)} = |.|^{u_k-u_l-2s_0}(\Delta_k^{(a_k)})^\vee$ thanks to Proposition 4.3. Let $m_{i,j} \leq n$ and $m_{l,k} \leq n$ be the positive integers such that $\Delta_i^{(a_i)}$ and $\Delta_j^{(a_j)}$

are representations of $G_{m_{i,j}}$, and $\Delta_k^{(a_k)}$ and $\Delta_l^{(a_l)}$ are representations of $G_{m_{k,l}}$, we obtain the equation

$$q^{m_{i,j}m_{k,l}(u_i-u_j-u_k+u_l)}(c_{\Delta_k^{(a_k)}}c_{\Delta_l^{(a_l)}})^{m_{i,j}}(\varpi)(c_{\Delta_i^{(a_i)}}c_{\Delta_j^{(a_j)}})^{-m_{k,l}}(\varpi)=1.$$

Hence u belongs to a finite numbers of hyperplanes if 4. is not satisfied.
In a similar manner to 3. and 4., one proves that u belongs to a finite numbers of hyperplanes if either 5., 6. or 7.is not satisfied.
The last case 8. needs to be treated more carefully. We will actually check that except for a finite numbers of $s \in \mathcal{D}$, the space $Hom_{P_n^\sigma}(|.|^s\Delta_1 \times |.|^{-s}\Delta_1^\vee, \chi_\alpha^{-1})$ is of dimension at most 1; this will imply the result. Let's omit the index 1 in the following, to simplify notations. According to Proposition 2.3, the restriction to P_n of the representation $|.|^s\Delta \times |.|^{-s}\Delta^\vee$ has a filtration by the P_n-modules

$$(\Phi^+)^{(a+b)r-1}\Psi^+(|.|^s[|.|^a\rho,\dots,|.|^{l-1}\rho] \times |.|^{-s}[|.|^{1-l+b}\rho^\vee,\dots,\rho^\vee])$$

for $a+b \leq 2l$. In general, one has an isomorphism between the space

$$Hom_{P_n^\sigma}((\Phi^+)^{(a+b)r-1}\Psi^+(|.|^s[|.|^a\rho,\dots,|.|^{l-1}\rho] \times |.|^{-s}[|.|^{1-l+b}\rho^\vee,\dots,\rho^\vee]),\chi_\alpha^{-1})$$

and

$$Hom_{G^\sigma_{n-(a+b)r}}(|.|^s[|.|^a\rho,\dots,|.|^{l-1}\rho] \times |.|^{-s}[|.|^{1-l+b}\rho^\vee,\dots,\rho^\vee],\chi_\alpha^{-1}\mu_{(a+b)r}|.|^{-1/2}),$$

according to Proposition 4.10, where $\mu_{(a+b)r} = \delta_{n-(a+b)r}^{-1/2}$ when $(a+b)r$ is even, and $\mu_{(a+b)r}$ is trivial when $(a+b)r$ is odd. We deduce that for $a+b=2l$, the vector space of invariant linear forms $Hom_{P_n^\sigma}((\Phi^+)^{(a+b)r-1}\Psi^+(\mathbf{1}),\chi_\alpha^{-1})$ is of dimension at most 1. If $a=b<l$, the central character of

$$|.|^s[|.|^a\rho,\dots,|.|^{l-1}\rho] \times |.|^{-s}[|.|^{1-l+a}\rho^\vee,\dots,\rho^\vee]$$

is equal to $t \mapsto |t|^{2r(l-a)}$, hence

$$Hom_{G^\sigma_{n-(2a)r}}(|.|^s[|.|^a\rho,\dots,|.|^{l-1}\rho] \times |.|^{-s}[|.|^{1-l+a}\rho^\vee,\dots,\rho^\vee],\chi_\alpha^{-1}\mu_{2ar}|.|^{-1/2})$$

is reduced to zero because

$$t \mapsto \chi_\alpha^{-1}\mu_{2ar}(tI_{n-2ar})|t|^{(2ar-n)/2} = |t|^{(2ar-n)/2}$$

is different from $t \mapsto |t|^{2r(l-a)}$ (they have respectively negative and positive real parts). If $a \neq b$, the central character of

$$|.|^s[|.|^a\rho,\dots,|.|^{l-1}\rho] \times |.|^{-s}[|.|^{1-l+b}\rho^\vee,\dots,\rho^\vee]$$

is equal to $t \mapsto |t|^{sr(b-a)}c_\rho^{b-a}|t|^{r(a+\dots+l-1+(1-l+b)+\dots+-1)}$, hence

$$q^{-sr(b-a)}c_\rho^{b-a}(\varpi)q^{-r(a+\dots+l-1+(1-l+b)+\dots+-1)} = \chi_\alpha^{-1}\mu_{(a+b)r}(\varpi I_{n-(a+b)r})q^{(n-(a+b)r)/2},$$

which is possible only for a finite number of $s \in \mathcal{D}$. This concludes the proof. $\square$

The interest of considering representations in general position, is that the L function L^{lin} is easy to compute for those representations. From now on, untill the end of this pargraph, the character α of F^* will satisfy $Re(\alpha) \in [-1/2,0]$, so that we can use Proposition 4.13.

Proposition 5.2. *Let u be in general position with respect to (π,χ_α), and π_u the representation $\Delta_1|.|^{u_1} \times \dots \times \Delta_t|.|^{u_t}$. One has $L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(\pi_u,\chi_\alpha,s)=1$, except if $t=2$, in which case $L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(\pi_u,\chi_\alpha,s) = L_{rad(ex)}(\Delta_1|.|^{u_1},\Delta_2|.|^{u_2},2s)$.*

Proof. If $t \geq 3$, then $L_{rad(ex)}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, s) = 1$ as an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.13. If $t = 2$, and $L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, s)$ has a pole at s_0, because u is in general position, Proposition 4.13 implies that $L_{rad(ex)}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1, |.|^{u_2}\Delta_2, 2s)$ has a pole at s_0. Conversely, if $L_{rad(ex)}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1, |.|^{u_2}\Delta_2, 2s)$ has a pole at s_0, then $|.|^{u_2}\Delta_2 \simeq |.|^{-2s_0-u_1}\Delta_1^\vee$, i.e. $\Delta_2 \simeq |.|^e\Delta_1^\vee$ with $e = -2s_0 - u_1 - u_2$, and $|.|^{s_0}\pi_u$ is (H_n, χ_α^{-1})-distinguished according to Proposition 3.8. But according to condition 8. of Definition 5.1, this implies that the space

$$Hom_{P_n^\sigma}(|.|^{s_0}\pi_u, \chi_\alpha^{-1})$$

is of dimension ≤ 1. The representation $|.|^{u_2}\Delta_2 \times |.|^{u_2}\Delta_2^\vee$ being generic, Proposition 4.12 implies that $L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(|.|^{s_0}\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, s)$ has a pole at 0, i.e. that $L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, s)$ has a pole at s_0. Hence both Euler factors $L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, s)$ and $L_{rad(ex)}(\Delta_1|.|^{u_1}, \Delta_2|.|^{u_2}, 2s)$ have the same poles, which are simple according to Propositions 4.9 and Proposition 4.3, hence the two factors are equal. ☐

Proposition 4.14 has the following consequences.

Proposition 5.3. *Let u be in general position with respect to (π, χ_α) be in general position. Let $(a_i)_{i=1\ldots t}$ and $(b_i)_{i=1\ldots t}$ be two sequences of non negative integers, such that $b_i \geq a_i$, and $\Delta_i^{(a_i)}$ and $\Delta_i^{(b_i)}$ are nonzero for all i in $\{1, \ldots, t\}$. Then the Euler factor $L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(b_1)} \times \cdots \times |.|^{u_t}\Delta_t^{(b_t)}, \chi_\alpha, s)$ divides $L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times \cdots \times |.|^{u_t}\Delta_t^{(a_t)}, \chi_\alpha, s)$.*

Proof. Let Δ_i' be equal to $|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i$ for all i between 1 and t. Let π_a be the representation $\Delta_1'^{(a_1)} \times \cdots \times \Delta_t'^{(a_t)}$, and π_b be the representation $\Delta_1'^{(b_1)} \times \cdots \times \Delta_t'^{(b_t)}$. The irreducible factors of the derivatives of π_a are the nonzero representations of the form $\Delta_1'^{(c_1)} \times \cdots \times \Delta_t'^{(c_t)}$, with $c_i \geq a_i$, and the irreducible factors of the derivatives of π_b are the nonzero representations of the form $\Delta_1'^{(d_1)} \times \cdots \times \Delta_t'^{(d_t)}$, with $d_i \geq b_i$. Hence the proposition is a consequence of Proposition 4.14. ☐

Proposition 5.2, 4.14 and 5.3 imply immediatly.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\pi_u = |.|^{u_1}\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times |.|^{u_t}\Delta_t$ be in general position. For i in $\{1, \ldots, t\}$, and a_i be the smallest positive integer such that $\Delta_i^{(a_i)} \neq 0$, then $L^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, s)$ is equal to*

$$\vee_{i=1}^t L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times |.|^{u_{i-1}}\Delta_{i-1} \times |.|^{u_i}\Delta_i^{(a_i)} \times |.|^{u_{i+1}}\Delta_{i+1} \times \cdots \times |.|^{u_t}\Delta_t, \chi_\alpha, s),$$

if $t \geq 3$, and $L^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, s)$ is equal to the product of $L_{rad(ex)}(\Delta_1|.|^{u_1}, \Delta_2|.|^{u_2}, 2s)$ with

$$L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times |.|^{u_2}\Delta_2, \chi_\alpha, s) \vee L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1 \times |.|^{u_2}\Delta_2^{(a_2)}, \chi_\alpha, s)$$

if $t = 2$.

We finally obtain the following theorem for representations in general position.

Theorem 5.1. *Let u be in general position with respect to (π, χ_α). Then*

$$L^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, s) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, 2s) \prod_{k=1}^t L^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, s).$$

Proof. We prove this by induction on n. As $t \geq 2$, we have $n \geq 2$. For $n = 2$, we are necessarily in the situation where $\pi_u = |.|^{u_1}\chi_1 \times |.|^{u_2}\chi_2$ for some characters χ_1 and χ_2 of F^*. In this case, $L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, s) = L_{rad(ex)}(|.|^{u_1}\chi_1, |.|^{u_2}\chi_2, 2s) = L(|.|^{u_1}\chi_1, |.|^{u_2}\chi_2, 2s)$ according to Proposition 5.2, and because $L(|.|^{u_1}\chi_1, |.|^{u_2}\chi_2, s) = L(|.|^{u_1+u_2}\chi_1\chi_2, s)$ has at most one simple pole, which is exceptional. Moreover, we have the equalities

$$L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\chi_1^{(1)} \times |.|^{u_2}\chi_2, \chi_\alpha, s) = L^{lin}(|.|^{u_2}\chi_2, \chi_\alpha, s)$$

and

$$L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\chi_1 \times |.|^{u_2}\chi_2^{(1)}, \chi_\alpha, s) = L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\chi_1, \chi_\alpha, s).$$

The result then follows from Proposition 5.4.
If $t \geq 3$, according to Proposition 5.4, one has

$$L^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, s)$$
$$= \vee_{i=1}^{t} L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times |.|^{u_{i-1}}\Delta_{i-1} \times |.|^{u_i}\Delta_i^{(a_i)} \times |.|^{u_{i+1}}\Delta_{i+1} \times \cdots \times |.|^{u_t}\Delta_t, \chi_\alpha, s).$$

By induction hypothesis, the factor

$$L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times |.|^{u_{i-1}}\Delta_{i-1} \times |.|^{u_i}\Delta_i^{(a_i)} \times |.|^{u_{i+1}}\Delta_{i+1} \times \cdots \times |.|^{u_t}\Delta_t, \chi_\alpha, \chi_\alpha, s)$$

is equal to the product of

$$\prod_{k<l, k\neq i, l\neq i} L(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, |.|^{u_l}\Delta_l, 2s) \prod_{p\neq i} L^{lin}(|.|^{u_p}\Delta_p, \chi_\alpha, s)$$

and

$$L^{lin}(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i^{(a_i)}, \chi_\alpha, s) \prod_{k'<i} L(|.|^{u_{k'}}\Delta_{k'}, |.|^{u_i}\Delta_i^{(a_i)}, 2s) \prod_{i<l'} L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i^{(a_i)}, |.|^{u_{l'}}\Delta_{l'}, 2s).$$

This last product divides

$$L^{lin}(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, \chi_\alpha, s) \prod_{k'<i} L(|.|^{u_{k'}}\Delta_{k'}, |.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, 2s) \prod_{i<l'} L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_{l'}}\Delta_{l'}, 2s)$$

according to Propositions 5.3 and 4.5. Now, as u is in general with respect to (π, χ_α), according to Definition 5.1, the factors $L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, 2s)$ and $L(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, |.|^{u_l}\Delta_l, 2s)$ are coprime when $\{i,j\} \neq \{k,l\}$, the factors $L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, 2s)$ and $L^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, s)$ are coprime when $i \neq j$, and the factors $L^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, s)$ and $L^{lin}(|.|^{u_l}\Delta_l, \chi_\alpha, s)$ as well when $k \neq l$. Hence when $t \geq 3$, the lcm

$$\vee_{i=1}^{t} L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times |.|^{u_{i-1}}\Delta_{i-1} \times |.|^{u_i}\Delta_i^{(a_i)} \times |.|^{u_{i+1}}\Delta_{i+1} \times \cdots \times |.|^{u_t}\Delta_t, \chi_\alpha, s)$$

is equal to

$$\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t} L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, 2s) \prod_{k=1}^{t} L^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, s),$$

which is what we want.
If $t = 2$, by induction, we have

$$L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(a_1)} \times |.|^{u_2}\Delta_2, \chi_\alpha, s)$$
$$= L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(a_1)}, \chi_\alpha, s) L^{lin}(|.|^{u_2}\Delta_2, \chi_\alpha, s) L(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(a_1)}, |.|^{u_2}\Delta_2, 2s)$$

and

$$L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1 \times |.|^{u_2}\Delta_2^{(a_2)}, \chi_\alpha, s)$$
$$= L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1, \chi_\alpha, s) L^{lin}(|.|^{u_2}\Delta_2^{(a_2)}, \chi_\alpha, s) L(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1, |.|^{u_2}\Delta_2^{(a_2)}, 2s).$$

Again, as u is in general position, and because of Propositions 5.3 and 4.5, the lcm of these two factors is the product of $L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1, \chi_\alpha, s) L^{lin}(|.|^{u_2}\Delta_2, \chi_\alpha, s)$ with

$$L(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1^{(a_1)}, |.|^{u_2}\Delta_2, 2s) \vee L(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1, |.|^{u_2}\Delta_2^{(a_2)}, 2s),$$

which is equal to

$$L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1, \chi_\alpha, s) L^{lin}(|.|^{u_2}\Delta_2, \chi_\alpha, s) L_{(0)}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1, |.|^{u_2}\Delta_2, 2s)$$

according to Proposition 4.4. Finally we obtain that $L^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, s)$ is equal to

$$L_{rad(ex)}(\Delta_1|.|^{u_1};\Delta_2|.|^{u_2};2s) L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1, \chi_\alpha, s) L^{lin}(|.|^{u_2}\Delta_2, \chi_\alpha, s) L_{(0)}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1, |.|^{u_2}\Delta_2, 2s)$$
$$= L^{lin}(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1, \chi_\alpha, s) L^{lin}(|.|^{u_2}\Delta_2, \chi_\alpha, s) L(|.|^{u_1}\Delta_1, |.|^{u_2}\Delta_2, 2s)$$

according to Proposition 5.4, which is what we wanted. $\square$

5.2 The inductivity relation for irreducible representations

We recall from [31] that any irreducible representation τ of G_n, is the unique irreducible quotient of a unique representation π of Langlands' type. By definition, we set $L^{lin}(\tau,s)=L^{lin}(\pi,s)$. We extend the relation $L^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)=\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t}L(\Delta_i,\Delta_j,2s)\prod_{k=1}^t L^{lin}(\Delta_k,\chi_\alpha,s)$ to representations of Langlands' type, for $-1/2\leq Re(\alpha)\leq 0$. We fix $\pi=\Delta_1\times\cdots\times\Delta_t$ a representation of G_n of Whittaker type for the beginning of this section, and take a character α of real part in $[-1/2,0]$. The representation π will become of Langlands' type when we need it. We first prove the following Lemma:

Lemma 5.1. *Let f belong to V_π, and α a character of F^* with $Re(\alpha)\in[-1/2,0]$. The ratio*

$$\frac{\Psi(W_{f,u},\phi,\chi_\alpha,s)}{\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t}L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i,|.|^{u_j}\Delta_j,2s)\prod_{k=1}^t L^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k,\chi_\alpha,s)}$$

belongs to $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm u},q^{\pm s}]$.

Proof. According to Theorem 4.1, the ratio in question is an element of $\mathbb{C}(q^{\pm u},q^{\pm s})$. For fixed u in general position with respect to (π,χ_α) (i.e. outside of some hyperplanes $H_1,\dots,H_r$ of $\mathcal{D}^t$), it belongs to $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$, in particular, it has no poles. Let P be the denominator of

$$\frac{\Psi(W_{f,u},\phi,\chi,s)}{\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t}L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i\times|.|^{u_j}\Delta_j,2s)\prod_{k=1}^t L^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k,s)},$$

then the set $Z(P)$ of zeroes of P is contained in the union $\bigcup_i H_i\times\mathcal{D}$. If P was not a unit of $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm u},q^{\pm s}]$, $Z(P)$ would be a hypersurface of $\mathcal{D}^{t+1}$, and one of its irreducible components would be $H_i\times\mathcal{D}$ for some i. This cannot be the case, as for any fixed u, for s large enough, the fraction $\Psi(W_{f,u},\phi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ is defined by an absolutely convergent integral, hence is holomorphic, and the inverse of $\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t}L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i\times|.|^{u_j}\Delta_j,2s)\prod_{k=1}^t L^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k,s)$ is polynomial, hence without poles. This concludes the proof. □

This has two corollaries, the first being straightforward.

Corollary 5.1. *Let α be a character of F^* with $Re(\alpha)\in[-1/2,0]$, then $L^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,s)$ divides the factor*

$$\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t}L(\Delta_i,\Delta_j,2s)\prod_{k=1}^t L^{lin}(\Delta_k,\chi_\alpha,s).$$

We introduce the following notation: if R is a integral domain, with field of fractions $F(R)$, and r and r' belong to $F(R)$, we write $r\sim r'$ if r and r' are equal up to a unit of R.

Proposition 5.5. *Let α be a character of F^* with $Re(\alpha)\in[-1/2,0]$, we have*

$$\gamma^{lin}(\pi_u,\chi_\alpha,\theta,s)\sim\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t}\gamma(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i,|.|^{u_j}\Delta_j,\theta,2s)\prod_{k=1}^t\gamma^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k,\chi_\alpha,\theta,s)$$

in $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{D}^{t+1})$, hence

$$\gamma^{lin}(\pi,\chi_\alpha,\theta,s)\sim\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t}\gamma(\Delta_i,\Delta_j,\theta,2s)\prod_{k=1}^t\gamma^{lin}(\Delta_k,\chi_\alpha,\theta,s)$$

in $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{D})$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon_0^{lin}(\pi_u,\chi_\alpha,\theta,s)$ be the element of $\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{D}^{t+1})$ defined by the relation

$$\gamma^{lin}(\pi_u,\chi_\alpha,\theta,s)/\epsilon_0^{lin}(\pi_u,\chi_\alpha,\theta,s)$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t} L(|.|^{-u_j}\Delta_j^\vee, |.|^{-u_i}\Delta_i^\vee, 1-2s)\prod_{k=1}^t L^{lin}(|.|^{-u_k}\Delta_k^\vee, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, 1/2-s)}{\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t} L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, 2s)\prod_{k=1}^t L^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, s)}.$$

This can be rewritten, for any f in $\mathcal{F}_\pi$, as the equality:

$$\frac{\Psi(\widetilde{W_{f,u}}, \hat{\phi}^\theta, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, 1/2-s)}{\prod_{k=1}^t L^{lin}(|.|^{-u_k}\Delta_k^\vee, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, 1/2-s)\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t} L(|.|^{-u_j}\Delta_j^\vee, |.|^{-u_i}\Delta_i^\vee, 1-2s)}$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon_0^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)\Psi(W_{f,u}, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s)}{\prod_{k=1}^t L^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, s)\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t} L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, 2s)}. \tag{13}$$

If u is in general position with respect to (π, χ_α), then the ratio $\epsilon_0^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)$ is equal to $\epsilon^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)$, in particular $\epsilon_0^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)$ has no poles for u in general position. First, we notice that this implies that $\epsilon_0^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)$ actually belongs to $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{D}^{t+1}]$. Indeed, let P be its denominator, reasonning as in proof of Lemma 5.1, if P is not a unit of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{D}^{t+1}]$, the set $Z(P)$ necessarily contains a subset of the form $H\times\mathcal{D}$, for H an affine hyperplane of $\mathcal{D}^t$. But Equation (13) implies that $Z(P)$, and hence $H\times\mathcal{D}$, is a subset of the zeroes of the polynomial

$$\frac{\Psi(W_{f,u}, \phi, \chi_\alpha, s)}{\prod_{k=1}^t L^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, s)\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t} L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, 2s)}$$

for any f and ϕ. In particular, according to Proposition 4.15 and its corollary, for any P_0 in $\mathcal{P}_0$, the hyperplane $H\times\mathcal{D}$ is a subset of the zeores of

$$\frac{P_0(q^{\pm u})}{\prod_{k=1}^t L^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, s)\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t} L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, 2s)}.$$

But by definition of $\mathcal{P}_0$, and thanks to Remark 2.1, for any fixed u, there is P_0 in $\mathcal{P}_0$ such that $P_0(q^{\pm u}) = 1$. For fixed u, this would implie that $H\times\mathcal{D}$ is a subset of the zeroes of

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^t L^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, s)\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t} L(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, 2s)},$$

which is impossible as for any fixed u, this polynomial is nonzero as a polynomial of q^{-s}. This thus implies that P is a unit, and that $\epsilon_0^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{D}^{t+1}]$.

Now, if u is in general position with respect to (π, χ_α) and $(\tilde{\pi}, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1})$, we have:

$$\epsilon_0^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s) = \epsilon^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)$$

and

$$\epsilon_0^{lin}(\widetilde{\pi_u}, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, \theta^{-1}, 1/2-s) = \epsilon^{lin}(\widetilde{\pi_u}, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, \theta, 1/2-s).$$

Applying twice the functional equation, we obtain that

$$\epsilon^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)\epsilon^{lin}(\widetilde{\pi_u}, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, \theta^{-1}, 1/2-s)$$

is equal to the constant function 1 or -1 (independantly of u and s). Hence

$$\epsilon_0^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)\epsilon_0^{lin}(\widetilde{\pi_u}, \delta_n^{-1/2}\chi_\alpha^{-1}, \theta^{-1}, 1/2-s)$$

equals 1 or -1, for u outside a finite number of hyperplanes, so it is always valid as an equality of polynomials. In particular, $\epsilon_0^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)$ is a unit of $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{D}^{t+1}]$, of the form $\lambda q^{\sum_i k_i u_i + as}$ for integers $k_1, \dots, k_t, a$, and λ in $\mathbb{C}^*$. We conclude thanks to the relation

$$\frac{\gamma^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)}{\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t}\gamma(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, \theta, 2s)\prod_{k=1}^t \gamma^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)}$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon_0^{lin}(\pi_u, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)}{\prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t}\epsilon(|.|^{u_i}\Delta_i, |.|^{u_j}\Delta_j, \theta, 2s)\prod_{k=1}^t \epsilon^{lin}(|.|^{u_k}\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, \theta, s)}.$$

□

Lemma 5.2. *Let π_1 and π_2 be two representations of Whittaker type of G_{n_1} and G_{n_2} respectively, and α be a character of F^*, then the factor $L^{lin}(\pi_2, \chi_\alpha, s)$ divides the factor $L^{lin}(\pi_1 \times \pi_2, \chi_\alpha, s)$.*

Proof. Let $\pi = \pi_1 \times \pi_2$. We saw in the proof of Proposition 4.14, that for W in $W(\pi, \theta)$, the integral

$$\Psi_{(n_1-1)}(W, \chi_\alpha, \theta) = \int_{N_{n_2}^\sigma \backslash H_{n_2}} W(diag(h, I_{n_1}))\chi_\alpha \chi_{n_2}(h)|h|^{s-n_1/2} dh$$

belongs to the ideal $I(\pi, \chi_\alpha)$. But according to Proposition 9.1. of [15], for every (W_2, ϕ) in $W(\pi_2, \theta) \times \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{n_2})$, there is W in $W(\pi, \theta)$, such that for h in G_{n_2}, we have the equality $W(diag(h, I_{n_1})) = W_2(h)\phi(\eta_{n_2} h)|h|^{n_1/2}$. For this W, the integral $\Psi_{(n_1-1)}(W, \chi_\alpha, s)$ becomes $\Psi(W_2, \phi', \chi_\alpha, s)$, for

$$\phi'(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{[(n_2+1)/2]}) = \phi(t_1, 0, t_2, 0, \dots).$$

As every ϕ' in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F^{[(n_2+1)/2]})$ can be obtained this way, this proves that $I(\pi_2, \chi_\alpha) \subset I(\pi, \chi_\alpha)$, which is what we wanted. ☐

For representations of Langlands' type, the preceding results implies the relation we are seeking for. If $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_t$ is a representation of Whittaker type of G_n, we will denote by $L_1^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$ the factor

$$L_1^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s) = \prod_{k=1}^{t} L^{lin}(\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, s) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le t} L(\Delta_i, \Delta_j, 2s).$$

Theorem 5.2. *If π is a representation of G_n of Langlands' type, and α is a character of F^* with $-1/2 \le Re(\alpha) \le 0$. We have $L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s) = L_1^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$.*

Proof. We write $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_t$, and we denote by r_i the the central character of Δ_i, so that $r_i \ge r_{i+1}$. It is a consequence of the corollary of Theorem 2.3 of [15] that $L(\Delta_i, \Delta_j, 2s)$ has its poles contained in the region $\{Re(s) \le (-r_i - r_j)/2\}$, and of Corollary 4.1, that $L^{lin}(\Delta_k, \chi_\alpha, s)$ has its poles contained in the region $\{Re(s) \le -r_k\}$ (because $-1/2 \le Re(\alpha)$). Similarly, $L(\Delta_j^\vee, \Delta_i^\vee, 1 - 2s)$ has its poles contained in the region $\{Re(s) \ge (1 - r_i - r_j)/2\}$, and $L^{lin}(\Delta_k^\vee, \chi_\alpha^{-1}\delta_n^{-1/2}, 1/2 - s)$ has its poles contained in the region $\{Re(s) \ge 1/2 - r_k\}$ (because $-1/2 \le Re(\alpha^{-1}|.|^{-1/2})$).
We now prove by induction on t the equality $L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s) = L_1^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$. There is nothing to prove when $t = 1$. We suppose that it is true for $t - 1$, and prove it for t.
We write $\pi_2 = \Delta_2 \times \dots \times \Delta_t$ and $\pi_3 = \Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_{t-1}$. By Corollary 5.1, there are two elements P and $\tilde{P}$ of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ with constant term 1, such that

$$L_1^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s) = P(q^{-s})^{-1} L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$$

and

$$L_1^{lin}(\tilde{\pi}, \chi_\alpha^{-1}\delta_n^{-1/2}, 1/2 - s) = \tilde{P}(q^{-s})^{-1} L^{lin}(\tilde{\pi}, \chi_\alpha^{-1}\delta_n^{-1/2}, 1/2 - s).$$

According to Proposition 5.5, we moreover know that $\tilde{P} \sim P$, hence it will be sufficient to know that the set of zeroes of P and $\tilde{P}$ are disjoint to conclude. By Lemma 5.2, there are two elements Q and $\tilde{Q}$ of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ with constant term 1, such that

$$L^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s) = Q(q^{-s})^{-1} L^{lin}(\pi_2, \chi_\alpha, s)$$

and

$$L^{lin}(\tilde{\pi}, \chi_\alpha^{-1}\delta_n^{-1/2}, 1/2 - s) = \tilde{Q}(q^{-s})^{-1} L^{lin}(\tilde{\pi_3}, \chi_\alpha^{-1}\delta_n^{-1/2}, 1/2 - s).$$

By induction hypothesis, we know that

$$L^{lin}(\pi_2, \chi_\alpha, s) = L_1^{lin}(\pi_2, \chi_\alpha, s)$$

and

$$L^{lin}(\tilde{\pi_3}, \chi_\alpha^{-1}\delta_n^{-1/2}, s) = L_1^{lin}(\tilde{\pi_3}, \chi_\alpha^{-1}\delta_n^{-1/2}, s).$$

We thus have the relation $P(q^{-s})Q(q^{-s}) = L_1^{lin}(\pi_2, \chi_\alpha, s)/L_1^{lin}(\pi, \chi_\alpha, s)$, i.e.

$$P(q^{-s})Q(q^{-s}) = L^{lin}(\Delta_1, s)^{-1} \prod_{j\geq 2} L(\Delta_1, \Delta_j, 2s)^{-1}.$$

This implies that $P(q^{-s})$ has its zeroes in the region

$$\{Re(s) \leq (-u_1 - u_t)/2\}.$$

Similarly,

$$\tilde{P}(q^{-s})\tilde{Q}(q^{-s}) = L^{lin}(\Delta_t^\vee, \chi_\alpha^{-1}\delta_n^{-1/2}, 1/2 - s)^{-1} \prod_{i\leq t-1} L(\Delta_t^\vee, \Delta_i^\vee, 1 - 2s)^{-1}$$

implies that $\tilde{P}(q^{-s})$ has its zeroes in the region

$$\{Re(s) \geq (1 - u_1 - u_t)/2\}.$$

This ends the proof. □

5.3 The equality for discrete series

Let $\phi = \phi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_t$ be a semi-simple representation of the Weil-Deligne group W_F', with ϕ_i of dimension n_i, and ϕ of dimension $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_t$. Let Δ_i be the discrete series representation of G_{n_i} such that $\phi_i = \phi(\Delta_i)$, we can always assume, up to re-ordering the ϕ_i's (which doesn't change ϕ), that $\Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_t$ is of Langlands' type. In this case, if τ is the unique irreducible quotient of $\pi = \Delta_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta_t$, then $\phi(\tau) = \phi$. Now, thanks to the equality

$$\wedge^2(\phi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_t) = \oplus_{k=1}^t \wedge^2 (\phi_k) \oplus_{1\leq i<j\leq t} \phi_i \otimes \phi_j,$$

if we denote by $L^{lin}(\phi, s)$ the factor $L(\phi, s + 1/2)L(\phi, \wedge^2, 2s)$, we have the equality

$$L^{lin}(\phi, s) = \prod_{k=1}^t L^{lin}(\phi_k, s) \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq t} L(\phi_i \otimes \phi_j, 2s).$$

As it is a consequence of Langlands' correspondance for G_n that $L(\phi_i \otimes \phi_j, s) = L(\Delta_i \times \Delta_j, s)$, to prove the equality $L^{lin}(\phi(\tau), s) = L^{lin}(\phi, s)$ for any irreducible representation τ of G_n, it is enough, according to Section 5.2, to show this equality for discrete series representations. We will be concerned with the proof of this result in this last section.

We start with the following corollary of Proposition 4.14.

Corollary 5.2. *Let Δ be a discrete series of G_n, and r the minimal positive integer, such that $\Delta^{(r)} \neq 0$, then one has $L_{(0)}^{lin}(\Delta, s) = L^{lin}(\Delta^{(r)}, s)$.*

Proof. Indeed, we recall that all the nonzero derivatives of Δ are irreducible. More precisely, if we write $\Delta = St_k(\rho) = [|.|^{(1-k)/2}\rho, \ldots, |.|^{(k-1)/2}\rho]$, they are of the form $\Delta^{(lr)} = [|.|^{(2l+1-k)/2}\rho, \ldots, |.|^{(k-1)/2}\rho] = |.|^{l/2}St_{k-l}(\rho)$ for l between 1 and k, now the claim is a straight-forward consequence of Proposition 4.14 and a simple induction. □

We recall the following standard resut about L functions of special representations.

Proposition 5.6. *Let k and r be two positive integers, let ρ be a cuspidal representation of G_r, and let $\Delta = St_k(\rho)$. Then $L(\phi(\Delta), s) = L(\phi(\rho|.|^{(k-1)/2}), s)$, in particular $L(\phi(\Delta), s) = L(\phi(\Delta^{(r)}), s)$.*

We will need Theorem 3.1 and results discussed in section 5 and 6 of [27] (namely Theorem 4.3 of [19], Theorem 1.1 of [20], Proposition 4.3 of [30]), which we summarise here.

Theorem 5.3. *Let Δ be a unitary discrete series representation of G_n, it cannot be H_n-distinguished when n is odd, and when n is even, it is H_n-distinguished if and only if it has a Shalika model. In the case n even, we write Δ as $St_k(\rho)$, where ρ is a cuspidal representation of G_r, with $n = kr$. When k is odd, Δ is distinguished if and only if $L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s)$ has a pole at zero, and when k is even, Δ is distinguished if and only if $L(\phi(\rho), Sym^2, s)$ has a pole at zero.*

We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *Let ρ be a cuspidal representation of G_r, then $L(\phi(\rho), Sym^2, s)$ and $L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s)$ both have simple poles. The function $L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s)$ is equal to 1 when r is odd.*

Proof. In this case, $\phi(\rho)$ is an irreducible representation of the Weil group W_F of dimension r. Let Fr be a geometric Frobenius element of $Gal_F(\bar{F})$. By definition, the factor $L(\phi(\rho) \otimes \phi(\rho), s)$ equals $1/det([I_d - q^{-s}\phi(\rho)(Fr) \otimes \phi(\rho)(Fr)]_{|V^{I_F}})$, where V^{I_F} is the subspace of $\phi(\rho) \otimes \phi(\rho)$ fixed by the inertia subgroup I_F of W_F. Moreover, we have

$$L(\phi(\rho) \otimes \phi(\rho), s) = L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s)L(\phi(\rho), Sym^2, s),$$

hence we just need to show that $L(\phi(\rho) \otimes \phi(\rho), s)$ has simple poles. To say that s_0 is a pole of order of d of this factor, amounts to say that $\mathbf{1}_{W_F}$ occurs with multiplicity d in $|.|^{s_0}\phi(\rho) \otimes \phi(\rho)$, i.e. that the space $Hom_{W_F}(\rho^\vee, |.|^{s_0}\rho)$ is of dimension d. As ρ is irreducible, this dimension is necessarily ≤ 1. Finally, the complex number s_0 is a pole of $L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s)$ if and only if $\wedge^2(|.|^{s_0/2}\phi(\rho))$ contains $\mathbf{1}_{W_F}$, so its contragredient as well, hence there is a (necessarily by irreducibility of $\phi(\rho)$) non degenerate symplectic form on the space of $|.|^{s_0/2}\phi(\rho)$, and r must be even in this case. When r is odd, $L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s)$ is thus necessarily 1. □

Now Theorem 5.3 has the following consequence.

Proposition 5.7. *Let k and r be two positive integers, let ρ be a cuspidal representation of G_r, and let $\Delta = St_k(\rho)$ be a discrete series of G_n, for $n = kr$.*
If k is odd, we have $L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(\Delta, s) = L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, 2s)$.
If k is even, we have $L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(\Delta, s) = L(\phi(\rho), Sym^2, 2s)$.

Proof. We recall from Corollary 4.2, that when n is even, the factor $L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(\Delta, s)$ has a pole at $s = s_0$ if and only if $|.|^{s_0}\Delta$ is H_n-distinguished. When k is odd, and r is odd, applying Theorem 5.3, we have $L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(\Delta, s) = 1$, but the factor $L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s)$ equals 1 as well according to Lemma 5.3. When k is odd, and r is even, applying Theorem 5.3, we see that $|.|^{s_0}\Delta$ is H_n-distinguished if and only if $L(|.|^{s_0}\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s)$ has a pole at $s = 0$. Now because of the relation

$$L(|.|^{s_0}\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s) = L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s + 2s_0),$$

we deduce that $L_{rad(ex)}(\Delta, s)$ has a pole at s_0 if and only if $L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, 2s)$ has a pole at s_0. But from Proposition 4.9 and Lemma 5.3, the factors $L_{rad(ex)}(\Delta, s)$ and $L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, 2s)$ have simple poles, hence are equal. The case k even is similar. □

Proposition 5.8. *Let Δ be a discrete series representation of G_n, and let ρ be the cuspidal representation of G_r, such that $\Delta = St_k(\rho)$, with $n = kr$.*
If k is odd, then one has $L(\phi(\Delta), \wedge^2, s) = L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s)L(\phi(\Delta^{(r)}), \wedge^2, s)$.
If k is even, then one has $L(\phi(\Delta), \wedge^2, s) = L(\phi(\rho), Sym^2, s)L(\phi(\Delta^{(r)}), \wedge^2, s)$.

Proof. We recall the equality

$$L(\phi(\Delta), \wedge^2, s) = \prod_{i=0}^{[(k-1)/2]} L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s + k - 2i - 1) \prod_{j=0}^{[k/2-1]} L(\phi(\rho), Sym^2, s + k - 2j - 2)$$

from Proposition 6.2 of [27]. If $k = 2m + 1$, then we have

$$L(\phi(\Delta), \wedge^2, s) \tag{14}$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{m} L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s + 2(m-i)) \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} L(\phi(\rho), Sym^2, s + 2(m-j) - 1).$$

Then, $\Delta^{(r)} = |.|^{1/2} St_{2m}(\rho)$, hence we have:

$$L(\phi(\Delta^{(r)}), \wedge^2, s) \tag{15}$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} L(\phi(|.|^{1/2}\rho), \wedge^2, s + 2(m-i) - 1) \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} L(\phi(|.|^{1/2}\rho), Sym^2, s + 2(m-j) - 2)$$

$$= \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, s + 2(m-i)) \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} L(\phi(\rho), Sym^2, s + 2(m-j) - 1).$$

Comparing Equations (14) and (15), we obtain the wanted equality. The case k even is similar. □

Now we can prove.

Theorem 5.4. *Let Δ be a discrete series representation of G_n, then*

$$L^{lin}(\Delta, s) = L(\phi(\Delta), s + 1/2) L(\phi(\Delta), \wedge^2, 2s).$$

Proof. We are going to prove the theorem by induction on k. We start with $k = 1$, hence $\Delta = \rho$. In this case, there are two different cases, if $r = 1$, then ρ is a character χ of F^*. The integrals $\Psi(W, \phi, s)$ are just integrals of the form $\int_{F^*} \phi(t)|t|^{s+1/2} d^*t$ with ϕ in $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(F)$, hence $L^{lin}(\chi, s) = L(\chi, s + 1/2) = L(\chi, s + 1/2)L(\chi, \wedge^2, 2s)$.
When $r \geq 2$, the L-factor $L_{(0)}(\rho, s)$ is equal to 1, because as the elements of $W(\rho, \theta)$ restricted to P_n, have compact support mod N_n, the integrals $\Psi_{(0)}(W, s)$ which define $L_{(0)}(\rho, s)$ have no poles. Hence we have

$$L^{lin}(\rho, s) = L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(\rho, s) = L(\phi(\rho), s + 1/2) L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(\rho, s) \tag{16}$$

because $L(\phi(\rho), s + 1/2)$ is then equal to 1. But according to Proposition 5.7, the factor $L^{lin}_{rad(ex)}(\rho, s)$ is equal to $L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, 2s)$, and this proves the wanted equality.
Now we do the induction step. Suppose that the theorem is true for $k - 1$. We do the case k odd, the case k even being similar.
Thanks to Corollary 5.2, we have $L^{lin}_{(0)}(\Delta, s) = L^{lin}(\Delta^{(r)}, s)$ which is thus equal to

$$L(\phi(\Delta^{(r)}), s + 1/2) L(\phi(\Delta^{(r)}), \wedge^2, 2s)$$

by induction hypothesis. Proposition 5.6 then gives the equality

$$L^{lin}_{(0)}(\Delta, s) = L(\phi(\Delta), s + 1/2) L(\phi(\Delta^{(r)}), \wedge^2, 2s),$$

so that

$$L^{lin}(\Delta, s) = L_{rad(ex)}(\Delta, s) L^{lin}_{(0)}(\Delta, s) = L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, 2s) L(\phi(\Delta), s + 1/2) L(\phi(\Delta^{(r)}), \wedge^2, 2s)$$

thanks to Proposition 5.7. Applying Proposition 5.8, we can replace the product of factors $L(\phi(\rho), \wedge^2, 2s) L(\phi(\Delta^{(r)}), \wedge^2, 2s)$ by the factor $L(\phi(\Delta), \wedge^2, 2s)$, to finally obtain

$$L^{lin}(\Delta, s) = L(\phi(\Delta), s + 1/2) L(\phi(\Delta), \wedge^2, 2s).$$

□

As explained in the beginning of this last section, this has the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3. *Let τ be an irreducible representation of G_n, then*

$$L^{lin}(\tau, s) = L(\phi(\tau), s + 1/2) L(\phi(\tau), 2s).$$

I would like to thank N. Cheurfa for fruitful conversations.

References

[1] *A. Aizenbud* and *D. Gourevitch*, with an appendix by the authors and *E. Sayag*, Generalized Harish-Chandra descent, Gelfand pairs, and an Archimedean analog of Jacquet-Rallis's theorem, Duke Math. J. **149** (2009), 509–567.

[2] *W. Banks*, A corollary to Bernstein's theorem and Whittaker functionals on the metaplectic group, Math. Res. Letters **5** (1998), 781–790.

[3] *J. N. Bernstein*, P-invariant distributions on $GL(N)$ and the classification of unitary representations of $GL(N)$ (non-archimedean case), Lecture Notes in Math. **1041** (1983), 50–102.

[4] *J. N. Bernstein* and *A.V. Zelevinsky*, Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **10** (1977), 441–472.

[5] *P. Blanc* and *P. Delorme*, Vecteurs distributions H invariants de representations induites pour un espace symetrique reductif p-adique G/H, Ann. Inst. Fourier **58** (2008), 213–261.

[6] *A. Borel* and *J. Tits*, Groupes réductifs, Inst. Hautes études Sci. Publ. Math. **27** (1965), 55–150.

[7] *D. Bump* and *S. Friedberg*, The exterior square automorphic L-functions on GL(n), in: Festschrift in honor of I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday, Part II (Ramat Aviv, 1989), 47–65, Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 3, Weizmann, Jerusalem, (1990).

[8] *C.J. Bushnell* and *G. Henniart*, The local Langlands conjecture for GL(2), Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 335. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[9] *W. Casselman*, Introduction to the theory of admissible representations of reductive p-adic groups, preprint 1995, `http://www.math.ubc.ca/~cass/research/pdf/p-adic-book.pdf`.

[10] *J. W. Cogdell* and *I.I. Piatetski-Shapiro*, Derivatives and L-functions for GL(n), preprint 2011, `http://www.math.osu.edu/~cogdell.1/moish-www.pdf`.

[11] *S. Friedberg* and *H. Jacquet*, Linear periods, J. Reine Angew. Math. **443** (1993), 91–139.

[12] *I.M. Gelfand* and *D.A. Kajdan*, Representations of the group GL(n,K) where K is a local field, Lie groups and their representations (Proc. Summer School, Bolyai János Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971), pp. 95-118. Halsted, New York, 1975.

[13] *H. Jacquet* and *R. Langlands*, Automorphic forms on GL(2), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 114. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970. vii+548 pp.

[14] *H. Jacquet*, *E. Lapid* and *J. Rogawski*, Periods of automorphic forms, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 1, 173–240.

[15] *H. Jacquet*, *I.I. Piatetskii-Shapiro* and *J.A. Shalika*, Rankin-Selberg Convolutions, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), 367–464.

[16] *H. Jacquet* and *S. Rallis*, Uniqueness of linear periods, Compositio Math. **102** (1996), no. 1, 65–123.

[17] *H. Jacquet*, and *J.A. Shalika*, The Whittaker models of induced representations, Pacific J. Math. **109** (1983), no. 1, 107–120.

[18] *D. Jiang* and *D. Soudry*, Generic representations and local Langlands reciprocity law for p-adic SO_{2n+1}, Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory, 457–519, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2004.

[19] *P.K. Kewat*, The local exterior square L-function: Holomorphy, non-vanishing and Shalika functionals, J. Algebra, **347** (2011), no. 1, 153–172.

[20] *P.K. Kewat* and *R. Raghunathan*, On the local and global exterior square L-functions, Preprint 2012, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.412`.

[21] *S. Kato* and *K. Takano*, Subrepresentation theorem for p-adic symmetric spaces, Int. Math. Res. Not., **2008** (2008), no. 11.

[22] *N. Matringe*, Conjectures about distinction and local Asai L-functions, Int. Math. Res. Not., **2009** (2009), no. 9, 1699–1741.

[23] *N. Matringe*, Distinction of some iduced representations, Math. Res. Lett. **17** (2010), no. 1, 77–97.

[24] *N. Matringe*, Distinguished generic representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ over p-adic fields, Int. Math. Res. Not., **2011** (2011), no. 1, 74–95.

[25] *N. Matringe*, Derivatives and asymptotics of Whittaker functions, Represent. Theory, **15** (2011), 646–669.

[26] *N. Matringe*, Cuspidal representations of GL(n,F) distinguished by a maximal Levi subgroup, with F a non-archimedean local field, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **350** (2012), no. 17-18, 797–800.

[27] *N. Matringe*, Linear and Shalika local periods for the mirabolic group, and some consequences, Preprint 2012, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.4307`.

[28] *N. Matringe*, A specialisation of the Bump-Friedberg L-function, Preprint 2012, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.1241`.

[29] *F. Rodier*, Whittaker models for admissible representations of reductive p-adic split groups, in: Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVI, Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1972), 425–430. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.

[30] *Y. Sakellaridis*, Plancherel formula, unfolding, regularized local periods and their nonvanishing, Notes from the talk given at the 60th birthday conference of Patrick Delorme, 16 June 2012, `http://andromeda.rutgers.edu/~sakellar/research.html`.

[31] *A.J. Silberger*, The Langlands quotient theorem for p-adic groups, Math. Ann. **236** (1978), no. 2, 95–104.

[32] *A.V. Zelevinsky*, Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups II, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., **10** (1977), no. 4, 441–472.